

---

**CONSOCIATIONAL POLITICS AND DEMOCRATIZATION:  
PAKISTAN'S EXPERIENCE**

**Kalim Ullah Marwat**

*PhD Scholar National Institute of Pakistan Studies (NIPS)*

*Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad*

Email: [kalimullah@nips.qau.edu.pk](mailto:kalimullah@nips.qau.edu.pk)

**Dr. Samina Yasmeen**

*Associate Professor/Chairperson, Department of Pakistan Studies (AIOU), Islamabad*

Email: [nina\\_sy\\_pk@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:nina_sy_pk@yahoo.co.uk)

**ABSTRACT**

*The present paper is an attempt to evaluate consociational political strategies, grand coalition and segmental autonomy, in the political and democratic history of Pakistan from 1947 to Signing of Charter of Democracy in 2006. We challenge conventional wisdom and argue that it was not only centralized nature of Pakistani state which created hurdles for flourishing democracy and politics of accommodation, adjustment and respect. Rather, lack of consociational political strategies on the part of political elites also contributed to developing politics of contention. Through investigation of political history of Pakistan, the paper argues that failure of political elites to develop consociational political strategies had negative impacts on issues related to segmental autonomy, proportional representation and supremacy of parliament which are the core principles of Arend Lijphart's consociational theory. While investigating role of Judiciary, the paper argues that as per consociational theory, superior judiciary has failed to play a-political and constitutional role.*

---

**Keywords:** Consociation, Segmental autonomy, Proportionality, Parliamentary Supremacy

**INTRODUCTION**

Pakistan, since its inception has a challenging relationship with democracy. As per freedom house index 2017, Pakistan is a hybrid regime which is exposed to undemocratic forces (Freedom House Index, 2017). Therefore, for exploring consociational politics, militarization of state and its connections with politics of religion seems significant factors. In this regard, the influence and power of Pakistan's army which has emerged as the 'parallel state' over time is important to explore (Waseem, 2011:1). Consequently, while

analyzing possibility or impossibility of consociation in Pakistan's political system, it is also important to analyze the dominant role of military in matters of policy and strategy and its impacts on core features of consociation. Before rejecting the applicability of consociational theory, as some of the Pakistani scholars have done, it is also important to argue that the journey of Pakistan towards democratic stability has been derailed for four times by powerful military establishment and every shift from military to elected form of government has produced weak civilian government (Aziz, 2008:7). We argue that weak civilian governments after every transition from military to civilian government lacked the capacity of achieving the objective of forming 'grand coalition' and ensuring 'segmental autonomy' which are inherently laying in Lijphart's consociational theory (Lijphart, 2002:39). Similarly, by following the politics of contention, polarization and hate, political leadership of Pakistan has also helped in weakening prospects of consociation.

#### **NATURE OF CONSOCIATIONALISM**

Theory of consociational democracy propounded by Arend Lijphart stands upon some tested principles. The theory has been focused upon developing the culture of accommodation, adjustment and trust among the political elites. According to Lijphart, consociationalism stands on four basic principles that formation of grand coalition government among different segmental elites, working of these elites for ensuring segmental autonomy, ensuring maximum equality or proportionality of all segments in public services and minority veto (Lijphart, 1977:25-52). In his later works, however, Lijphart further delimits features of consociational theory only to 'formation of grand coalition government' and 'insurance of segmental autonomy' (Wolff, 2010:5). According to many experts on consociationalism of Arend Lijphart, application of these features lead to restoring dignity of democratic institutions like parliament and political parties and can lead to flourishing democracy and politics of negotiation (Williams, p.2).

#### **CONSOCIATIONAL EXPERIENCE IN PRE-1971 PAKISTAN**

After independence, being a heterogeneous society divided into different ethnic and linguistic identities, consociational political strategies were required for achieving political harmony and resolving

segmental conflicts in Pakistan (Kundi, 2002:38). Meanwhile, however, during freedom movement, the group identity chalked out by All India Muslim League for Indian Muslims was based on common religion while the issue of ethnic division within these diverse groups was completely ignored (Younis, 2017:4). After independence, not only these diverse ethnic identities surfaced but even the balance of power in federal structure, provided under 1935 Act, continued to remain centralized (Callard, 1986:176). Moreover, in spite of Jinnah's frequent stress upon ensuring minorities' rights, Hussain Shaheed Suharwardy's move in 1947 to accommodate Hindus through opening membership of League for them was rejected, leading Talbot to comment that 'ideology took precedence over nation-building' (Talbot, 1998:92). Similarly, contrary to the spirit of consociational democracy's multi-party system, Liaquat Ali Khan declared in 1950 that it is against the interest of Pakistan to form new political parties in opposition to Muslim League (Adeney, 2006:100). Furthermore, soon after independence till 1958, West Pakistan's civil and military bureaucracy, along with Muhajirs, dominated over every important political decision while Bengalis, Sindhis and Balochis, were politically and economically marginalized which not only generated ethnic tension but it was even against the principle of proportionality in public service of consociational theory (Adeney, 2006:153, Maryam, 2014:84). Here again, opposite to proportionality and segmental autonomy mechanism in consociational theory, Herbert Fieldman argues that Punjabis dominated the army with 60 per cent representation followed by Pashtoons with 35 per cent while other constituted the remaining five per cent (Fieldman, 1972:169). It was against this policy of political exclusiveness, and dis-proportionality in services that the ruling party (Muslim League) lost its credibility and in December, 1953 an alliance in form of United Front was prepared in East Pakistan (Mustafa, 2010:112). Though, Muslim League faced electoral failure in 1954 in East Pakistan Provincial Assembly elections but to solve the issue of political representation, political elites, dominated by West Pakistan, developed consensus to introduce and implement 'One Unit' scheme which minimized Bengal's numerical strength (West Pakistan Act, 1955). Again the decision is evident to be called against the norms of consociational theory as it

was not implemented after taking broader consensus like consent of East Pakistanis

Contrary to consociational spirit, before 1954 Pakistani elites insisted upon Urdu to be the state language which created resentments not only in East Pakistan but even in Western Wing. It was after much linguistic tension and humiliating defeat of Muslim League in 1954 East Bengal Provincial Assembly elections that central government accepted the status of Urdu and Bengali as official languages of the republic under 1956 Constitution (Government of Pakistan 1954, Article 276[1]). Under 1956 constitution, political elites failed to recognize linguistic identities at provincial level. Resultantly, some groups in Lahore encouraged the use of Punjabi, NAP advocated the cause of Pashtu, in Baluchistan some political parties started advocating Balochi nationalism and in Sindh Adabi Sangat demanded Sindhi to be the official language of Sindh (Adeney, 2006:142). Similarly, Pakistani elites allowed inclusion of religious groups in legislature but their say in decision-making organs of the state was not recognized (Adeney, 2006:79).

While analyzing the political history of Pakistan from 1947 to 1958, scholars who reject consociation argue that it was strong centre and arbitrary powers of Governor General responsible for conflicts among the political elites. They ignored the fact that no doubt Governor General Ghulam Muhammad was responsible for subverting the democratic process through dismissing Nizam-ud-Din Ministry in 1953 but the political parties which endorsed his actions were also responsible for that subversion. Similarly, Iskandar Mirza was also successful in ousting one cabinet after another in order to perpetuate his position and to bring his favourite party (Republican) in power through the support of politicians (Hamid, 2012:130). Thus, the non-consociation based political landscape of Pakistan led the country towards undemocratic trajectory. Resultantly, the civilians fate to govern was buried when on October 8, 1958 Iskandar Mirza, the president of Pakistan, announced proclamation of martial law throughout the country after ensuring American Ambassador and British High Commissioner that the new government would be more pro-west (Jalal, 1990:260). In his proclamation, Mirza abrogated the constitution of 1956, dismissed central and provincial governments and dissolved the National and Provincial Assemblies of the country.

He also banned all political parties and postponed general elections for an unknown period (Hamid, 2012:118). Here again, contrary to application of consociational theory in established democracies, the role of powerful civil-military bureaucracy and its impacts on flourishing consociationalism needs to be considered.

The newly installed military regime, under Ayub Khan, disqualified several veteran politicians like Suharwardy, Qayyum Khan and Ayub Khuro through tribunals framed under Elective Bodies Disqualification Order 1959 (EBDO, 1959, PO No.13). During General Ayub Khan's regime from 1958 to 1969, tension between various segments and central government continued as the regime opted for destabilizing the position of Muhajirs at the centre. Moreover, the Sindhis were further deprived of provincial autonomy under a military-imposed non-consociational constitution (Maryam, 2014:84). To enhance economic growth, the regime adopted exclusive methods and resources were invested in West Pakistan on the pretext of unstable economic infrastructure and uncertain political conditions in East Pakistan (Sayed, 1980:55). While analyzing proportional representation in state services, the available literature can be put as evidence to show that apart from undue share in military, Bengalis' membership in state level policy-formulating institutions, institutions conducting inquiries, central secretariat and public cooperation was insignificant. The Commission of Inquiry had 280 personnel. Among these only 75 belonged to East Pakistan (Jehan, 1994:98). Though, Ayub Khan had expressed determination that he will bring parity in the allocation of jobs particularly in military between East and West Pakistan but he also failed in this venture. In 1968, at the end of his tenure, Bengali had the share of only two per cent in the top military elite (Amin, 1988:82) while there were no Sindhi generals in the same powerful institution of military (Addeny, 2006:161) which is against the criteria of 'proportionality in services' of Lijphart's theory.

#### **CONSOCIATIONAL EXPERIENCE IN POST 1971 PAKISTAN**

Pakistan's experience and its political history from 1947 to 1971 discloses unevenness characterized by lack of segmental autonomy along economic and linguistic lines, disproportionality in services for East Bengal, Sindh and Baluchistan, and absence of consociation among political elites against anti-democratic forces. The above situation put Pakistan on the trajectory of exclusive political culture in

which neither the major demands of East's Pakistan Awami League, decentralization, greater representation in army and respect for majority decision in the assembly, were accepted nor the democratic mandate of 1970 elections was recognized and the ultimate result proved to be the separation of East Pakistan in 1971 (Samad, 2013:4).

After the separation of East Pakistan, the powers were transferred to the elected politicians. It is important here to mention that PPP formed government at Punjab and Sindh while in NWFP (KP) and Baluchistan, National Awami Party (NAP) and Jamiat-e-Ulema-e-Islam (JUI) formed coalition government after consensus among the political forces. (Maryam, 2014:106). Here, it is imperative to talk about that it was under the principles of consociation when negotiations between PPP and NAP-JUI leaders proved successful and Mir Ghous Bakhsh Bizenjo was appointed as Governor of Baluchistan while Sardar Ataullah Mengal took charge as the Chief Minister (Siddiqi, 2012:65). The new government under PPP introduced a new consensus-based federal structure through 1973 constitution which was passed unanimously, 125 out of 133 members voted for it (Adeney, 2006:157). In the constitution, various consociational provisions were incorporated symbolically. According to Lijphart's theory, a bicameral legislature was introduced (Article 50), and for smooth running of centre-provinces relations in the field of policy-making, the Council of Common Interests (CCI), consisting of provincial chief ministers and equal number of federal ministers, was created (Article 153). Similarly, for managing revenue matters between centre and provinces, National Finance Commission (NFC), consisting of provincial and federal ministers, was established (Article 50, 153, 160). Likewise, residual powers were given to provinces and steps were also taken towards restoration of 'maximum provincial autonomy' (Baxter, 1974:1080). Further, following the true democratic principles, Bhutto not only agreed that governors should be appointed from a party having majority of seats in provincial assemblies but also nominated NAP members upon those posts in NWFP (KP) and Baluchistan (Baxter, 1974:1079).

The above evident decentralized structure of 1973 Constitution was, however, contrary to consociational theory in many respects. Powers were divided between federal and provincial governments through federal legislative list and concurrent legislative list. Along

with monopoly over federal legislative list, the central government's law and say also prevailed in case of deadlock between federal government and provincial government over a subject included in the concurrent list (1973 Constitution, Articles 143). Despite the notion of 'provincial autonomy', the overall division of subjects had been put in favour of central government and the subjects coming under the concrete ambit of provincial governments were comparatively fewer (Syed, 2004:x).

However, the way Zulfikar Ali Bhutto use his powers clearly shows that soon he started dishonouring consociational principles (Jalal, 1999:317). Bhutto started considering Wali Khan and NAP government as an electoral threat and therefore, employed every strategy to oust his opposition from NWFP and Baluchistan. This strategy was against principles of respect, adjustment and accommodation of opposition forces in consociational theory. It was during elected government of Zulfikar Ali Bhutto that demands for segmental autonomy, particularly of Baluchistan, was pressed and relations between central government and Baluchistan became deteriorated. Many Baloch nationalist leaders, who were demanding nothing more than provincial autonomy, were put behind the bars including Ghous Bakhsh Bizenjo, Khair Bakhsh Marri and Attaullah Mengal (Siddiqi, 2012:50). The above policy of Zulfikar Ali Bhutto clearly shows that consociational features like inclusiveness and mutual accommodation among political elites were not followed in the later phase of the regime.

The above analysis of the regime shows that Zulfikar Ali Bhutto followed consociational mechanism as a launching boat with political leaders of NAP and JUI. However, soon he militarized his civilian rule and failed to follow the spirit of consociation as a democratic and political strategy. Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, however, faced practical and nation-wide opposition in form of Pakistan National Alliance (PNA), formed by nine political parties, in general elections scheduled to be held on March 7, 1977 for National Assembly and March 10, 1977 for Provincial Assemblies. This joint adventure was initiated by political elites of PNA just for the purpose to remove Zulfikar Ali Bhutto from the corridor of power.

Here, it is important to mention that the conventional argument has failed to notice and analyze that it was not the central government

but the political elites of PNA who made the environment of the country messy and disorganized by not accepting the results and giving a call for country-wide agitation. By following the politics of contention and polarization, the political elites of PNA paved way for another military rule. During PNA movement, contrary to democratic norms, some PNA leaders, like Air Martial (Rtd.) Asghar Khan, openly started inviting military to come forward and secure Pakistan from internal anarchy by taking control of government in its own hands (Zahid *et.al.*, 2016:124). Moreover, by early July 1977, major demands of PNA had been accepted by Zulfikar Ali Bhutto. Later, the PNA Council rejected the agreement which was signed by the opposition and Z.A. Bhutto (Ghafoor, 1988:31-32). Thus, it can be said that mutual hate, misunderstanding and the politics of blame among politicians left negative impacts on flourishing consensus.

By imposing martial law, General Zia-ul-Haq not only abrogated the constitution but even banned all sorts of political activities and developed the role of a saviour working under the designed mission of God to make Pakistani society purified and Islamic (Rizvi, 2000:247-308). It is also pertinent to mention here that in Lijphar's consociational model, judicial review has been suggested for the purpose to guard and protect the constitution and to keep its dignity as a superior and valued document (Lihphart, 2012:212-25). Contrary to this criterion, in the judgment of Begum Nusrat Bhutto vs. Chief of Army Staff, the judiciary allowed General Zia-ul-Haq's martial law under the 'Doctrine of Necessity'. This decision of judiciary practically and legally recognized supremacy of martial law over the constitution. Moreover, as a reward, Chief Justices of High Courts were given the position of Governors of related provinces (Zahid *et.al.*, 2016:127). Apart from it, judiciary gave powers to Chief Martial Law Administrator to amend the constitution for the purpose to conduct free and fair elections (PLD, 1977:656-763).

Moreover, after assuming powers, General Zia's first priority was to suppress PPP workers and to get support of PNA parties. Therefore, for the purpose to achieve cooperation of PNA, Zia offered different portfolios to political elites. The Muslim League (Pagara) was the first political party which joined military regime, followed by other parties while apparently telling to people that they have joined the military regime to implement Nizam-i-Mustafa and to facilitate the



process of elections (Rizvi, 2003:174). Jumat-e-Islami was given the Ministries of Information and Broadcasting, Water and Power and Production. Thus, in the early years of military regime, 13 out of 21 Ministries were given to different parties of PNA. However, major and important portfolios were kept by Zia and his military colleagues (Arif, 2001:171-172). The above situation clearly indicates that Zia succeeded, as Hassan Askari Rizvi contends, in the process of 'civilianization of military rule' through creating beneficiaries among the political elites (Farhan, 2012:32). Above discussion clearly shows that political elites showed immaturity and disunity in front of a powerful military establishment. The politicians not only paved way for military intervention but even facilitated it by taking side of military in form of gaining some portfolios.

#### **POLITICS OF CONSOCIATION IN THE ERA OF PARLIAMENTARY DEMOCRACY BETWEEN 1988 -1999**

The era of parliamentary democracy between 1988 -1999 represents a very fragile political and anti-consociational environment in Pakistan. The frequent and untimely dissolution of elected governments, 1990, 1993, 1997, 1999, specifies a question mark on conventional argument which undervalues consociation among political elites and considers strong central governments responsible for tussle between central and provincial governments and democratic instability in Pakistan. The uneven political environment during 1988-1999 clearly indicates two possible aspects to be analyzed. First, the political leadership engaged in 'negative consociation' and failed to meet the criteria of 'consociational model' of Lijphart which needs to be evaluated. Second, the powerful role of military cum president as power broker and manipulator of political system is important to discuss (*Dawn*, 2008).

After the death of Zia, party-based general elections to National and Provincial Assemblies of Pakistan were arranged on 16 and 19 November 1988. After securing majority of seats, Benazir Bhutto formed alliance with MQM and JUI (FR). Resultantly, Benazir Bhutto took oath as Prime Minister on December 1, 1988 while Ghulam Ishaq Khan, with the support of PPP became the President of Pakistan (*The Nation*, 1988). This coalition between MQM and PPP however, did not last long due to tussle between PPP and IDA. In September 1989 MQM violated its eleventh month accord with PPP and entered into a

secret agreement with Islamic Democratic Alliance against PPP government (The Muslim, 1989). After disrupting MQM from PPP, Islamic Democratic Alliance, headed by Nawaz Sharif, also became successful in building collaboration with Awami National Party of Wali Khan. Thus, with the support of MQM and ANP, Nawaz Sharif formed a block with the name of Combined Opposition Parties (COP) and brought a resolution of no-confidence against Benazir Bhutto (The Muslim, 1989). Moreover, PPP government faced a very hostile attitude from the President throughout its tenure. The conflict between PPP and President, particularly over the appointment of military chiefs and judges of superior courts, ultimately led to the dissolution of National Assembly by the President (Hamid, 2012:402). However, during first tenure of Benazir Bhutto, there has been lack of mutual respect, accommodation and negotiation over diverse issues which are basically the core features of consociational theory.

After the dismissal of Benazir's government, general elections were held in October 1990. The main competitors were Islamic Democratic Alliance (PDA) headed by Nawaz Sharif and Pakistan Democratic Alliance headed by PPP. After elections, Nawaz Sharif became the Prime Minister. However, the elections were declared rigged by PDA. Ghulam Ishaq Khan, the president, deliberately made efforts to defeat PPP and supported IJI in winning majority of seats (PDA White Paper, 1991). It is also important here to mention that IJI, which defeated PPP in 1990 general elections, had been the product of military establishment. It was General Mirza Aslam Beg and President Ghulam Ishaq Khan who pressurized judiciary against Benazir Bhutto and provided economic support to his favourite parties for the purpose to create IJI (Nasreen, 2008:8). However, relations between Prime Minister and President became deteriorated when Nawaz Sharif wanted to cut powers of dissolution of National Assembly of the president and to appoint new Chief of Army Staff of his own choice after the death of General Asif Nawaz Janjua. This conflict between Prime Minister and President took a serious turn after Ghulam Ishaq Khan appointed General Abdul Waheed Kakar without informing or consulting Nawaz Sharif (Hamid, 2012:417). The tussle between PM and President went beyond control and in April 1993 the President dismissed Nawaz Sharif government. However, after this dismissal the judiciary showed its support to democracy and Nawaz Sharif was

allowed to work by restoring his government. But after restoration of Nawaz's government, relations between PM and President went towards increasing tension day by day. The President was trying his best to create problems for Nawaz Sharif government at national and provincial level (Umbreen *et.al.*, 2017:223). This confrontation was, however, ultimately solved when Chief of Army Staff Abdul Waheed Kakar came forward and brought about an agreement between the Prime Minister and the President. After negotiations, both PM and President agreed to resign. Thus, military again influenced political set up by working as broker which is important to keep in mind before going to reject the applicability of consociation in the political system of Pakistan (Rais, 1994:136-138).

Again people went to cast their votes in the general elections on October 6, 1993. After elections, PPP formed alliance with PML (Junejo) and some independents and Benazir Bhutto became the Prime Minister on October 19, 1993 (Umbreen *et.al.*, 2017:223). This time again, political forces involved in disunity and disruption. PPP government sought help of Aftab Sherpao and destabilized PML(N) and ANP government in NWFP. After winning over a number of candidates of PML (N) and ANP coalition, Governor Rule was enforced and Chief Minister and provincial ministers were removed from offices (Ziring, 1997:556). Similar process was followed in Punjab where the President ordered Governor to take control of the administration and the powers of Chief Minister Manzoor Ahmad Wattoo of PML(J) were ceased to function (Hamid, 2012:432). Moreover, the inability of political leadership to form consensus made Benazir Bhutto's government politically and economically weak. The situation further became serious when disagreement and tension developed between Benazir Bhutto and Leghari over the issue of appointment of judges to superior courts. Ultimately, an understanding developed between Nawaz Sharif and Leghari in which the Leghari agreed to use Article 58(2)(b) against the government (The News, 1996).

The subsequent elections of February 3, 1997 resulted in the winning of two third majority by PML(N) which formed government in coalition with MQM and ANP. In spite of the fact that the elections were declared 'engineered' by PPP (The News, 1997), the government took some drastic measures. This time Nawaz Sharif changed Article

58 (2) (b) and cut the powers of president to dismiss the elected government. Moreover, he declared to minimize defence budget and to build friendly relations with New Delhi (Aqil, n.d.:213). However, in spite of having two third majority, Nawaz Sharif failed to maintain his consensus with ANP which broke on the issue of changing name of NWFP to Pakhtoonkhwa. Similarly, PML (N) failed in keeping consensus intact with MQM and BNP of Akhtar Mengal (Zahid, 2015:247). The democratic government ultimately faced dissolution at the hands of General Musharraf on October 12, 1999 when Nawaz Sharif developed confrontation with judiciary and army by seeking appointment of his own junior Lt. General Zia-ud-Din as COAS. Thus, again civilian government faced dissolution before completing its constitutional tenure.

During Musharraf military led regime, again consociation was formed among political parties. This consociation was, however, not for ensuring segmental autonomy rather it was formed for the purpose to safeguard the military rule in Pakistan. NAB was used against political leaders who under pressure left PML (N) and joined Musharraf in forming a new and his own party with the name of PML (Q) which supported Musharraf in every tough time (Nawaz *et.al.*, 2013:350-55). After general elections of 2002, PML (Q) formed alliance with MQM and some independents. However, for the purpose to legalize his unconstitutional actions and empowering the position of the President, Musharraf introduced 17<sup>th</sup> Amendment in the constitution in which he was supported by political parties including PML (Q), MQM and MMA. Moreover, Musharraf failed in bringing normality in relations between central and Baluchistan's government. Major demands of Baluchistan regarding political autonomy, gas royalty, and removal of Punjabis domination in different projects were ignored (Muhammad, *et al.*, n.d.: 63). The central government failed in handling tension with Baluchistan and decided to use force when relations became tense between the two. Several military and ISI generals advised Musharraf to take actions against Baluch leaders like Khair Bux Marri, Akbar Bugti and Attaullah Mengal (Muhammad, *et.al.*, n.d.:70). Ultimately, the military government led by General Pervez Musharraf killed Akbar Bugti who was blamed for creating separatist sentiments among Baluch Tribes. This action of central

government further tensed the situation between central and provincial governments.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The above analysis strengthens arguments of the current paper provided at the beginning regarding consociation, democratic stability and segmental autonomy. The above investigation reveals that while investigating consociation and its impacts upon segmental autonomy, proportionality in services, a-political nature of judiciary and parliamentary supremacy in Pakistan, it is important to keep in mind polarization among political parties and role of anti-democratic forces. During civilian rule, polarized political culture and non-accommodative nature of political elites did not allow consociations to achieve the objective of segmental autonomy and democratic stability in Pakistan. Moreover, military has always exploited the politics of hate among political forces. It has not only derailed democracy but even successfully created beneficiaries among political parties. The case of PNA and PML (Q) is a clear example where civilian forces helped in legitimizing and extended military rule in the country. More importantly, under Lijphart's consociational theory, judiciary helps in institutionalizing civilian rule through safeguarding constitution. While analyzing role of judiciary in three martial laws in Pakistan, we argued that the approach of judiciary towards upholding civilian supremacy and constitutional protection has been contrary to consociational theory. The political history of Pakistan reveals that after every martial law judiciary has not only legitimized military coup but even it has given free hand to military dictators to amend the constitution.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Adeel, Khan (2005). *Politics of Identity: Ethnic Nationalism and the State in Pakistan*, New Delhi: Sage Publication, pp.16-17.
- Akhtar, Rashid (1980). *Elections 77 and Aftermath: A Political Appraisal*, Islamabad, p.8.
- Aqil, Shah (n.d.). *The Transition to Guided Democracy in Pakistan*, *Asia Pacific*, Centre for Security Studies, p.213.
- Ayesha, Jalal (1990). *The State of Martial Rule*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p.260-61.

- Ayesha, Jalal (1999). *The State of Martial Rule: The Origin of Pakistan's Political Economy of Defence*, Cambridge South Asian Studies, Lahore: Sang-e-Meel, p.317.
- Bashir, Ahmad (2018). The PNA's Movement, *Journal of Punjab University Historical Society*, Vol.31, Issue No.1, p.40.
- Baxter, C. (1974). Constitution-making: The Development of Federalism in Pakistan, *Asian Survey*, 14(12):1075-85
- Brian D. Williams, (n.d.). How Consensual Are Consensus Democracies? A Reconsideration of the Consensus/Majoritarian Dichotomy and a Comparison of Legislative Roll-Call Vote Consensus Levels from Sixteen Countries, University of California, p.2.
- Charles, H. Kennedy (1991). Politics of Ethnicity in Sindh, *Asian Survey*, Vol. 31, No.10, pp.938-955. **DOI:** 10.2307/2645065.
- Chitrophe, Jafrelot (1998). Interpreting Ethnic Movements in Pakistan, *Pakistan Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan* (1956). Part II.
- Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan (1973). Article 143 in Marayam, S. Khan (2014). p.112.
- Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan (1973). Articles 50, 153, 160 respectively.
- Daily Dawn* (April 2, 1963).
- Daily Dawn* (February 7, 2008). 'Ex-General Wisdom'.
- Development Review*, Part 1, pp.153-179.
- EBDO (1959). President Order No. 13, enforced from August 7, 1959, PLD 1959, Central Status 288.
- Express Tribune* (July 21, 2017). 'Dynamics of Ethnic Conflicts in Pakistan'.
- Farhan, Hanif, Siddiqi (2012). *The Politics of Ethnicity in Pakistan: The Baloch, Sindhi and Mohajir Ethnic Movements*, New York: Rutledge, pp.42, 65, 50, 90-91.
- Freedom House Index (2017).
- Government of Pakistan (1954). Article 276(1).
- Gulam, Mustafa (2010). Alliance Politics in Pakistan: A Study of the United Front, *Pakistan Journal of History and Culture*, Vol.XXXI, No.1, pp.104-129.
- Hamid, Khan (2012). *Constitutional and Political History of Pakistan*, New York: Oxford University Press, pp.118, 130, 329, 400-429, 402, 417, 432.
- Hassan, Askari, Rizvi (2000). *The Military and Politics in Pakistan: 1947-97*, Lahore: Sang-e-Meel Publications, pp.247-308.
- Hassan, Askari, Rizvi (2003). *Military, State and Society in Pakistan*, Lahore: Sang-e-Meel Publication, p.174.
- Herbert Fieldman (1972). *From Crisis to Crisis: Pakistan 1962-1969*, London: Oxford University Press, p.169.
- Horowitz, D.L (2002). Constitutional Design: Proposal versus Processes. In a Reynolds (ed.) *The Architecture of Democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.15-36.

- Ian, Talbot (1998). *Pakistan: A Modern History*, London: Hurst and Company, p.92.
- Katharine, Adeney (2006). *Federalism and Ethnic Conflict Regulation in India and Pakistan*, pp.6, 18-19, 79, 100, 101, 142, 153, 157.
- Keith, Callard (1986). *Pakistan: A Political Study*, Oxford: Allen & Unwin, p.176.
- Khalid, Bin, Sayed (1980). *Politics in Pakistan: The Nature and Direction of Change*, New York: Preager Publishers, p.55.
- Khalid, Mehmud, Arif (2001). *Khaki Shadows: Pakistan 1947-1997*, Karachi: Oxford University Press, pp.171-172.
- Lawrence, Ziring (1997). *Pakistan in the Twentieth Century: A Political History*, Oxford University Press, pp.391, 556-7.
- Lijphart Arend (2002). *The Wave of Power-sharing Democracy*. in A Reynolds (ed). *The Architecture of Democracy: Constitutional Design, Conflict Management and Democracy*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.37-54.
- Lijphart Arend, (1977). *Democracy in plural societies: A comparative exploration*, (Yale University Press, 1977), pp.25-52.
- Lijphart, Arend (2012). *Patterns of Democracy*, 2<sup>nd</sup> (ed), New Haven: Yale University Press, pp.212-225.
- M. Ijaz, Laif and M.Amir, Hamza (n.d.). *Ethnic Nationalism in Pakistan: A Case Study of Baloch Nationalism During Musharaf Regime*, *Pakistan Vision*, Vol.10, No.1, pp.63, 70.
- Mansoor, Akbar, Kundi (2002). *Federalism in Pakistan: Problems and Prospects*, *Asian and African Studies*, Vol.2, No.1, pp.37-48.
- Maryam, S. Khan (2014). *Ethnic Federalism in Pakistan: Federal Design, Construction of Ethno Linguistic Identity & Group Conflict*, *Harvard Journal on Racial and Ethnic Justice*, Vol.30.
- McGarry, J. and O'Leary, B. (2004). *Introduction: Cosociational Theory and Northern Ireland* in J. McGarry and B. O'Leary, *The Northern Ireland Conflict: Consociational Engagements*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.1-60.
- Mehtab, Ali, Shah (1997). *Ethnic Tension in Sindh and Their Possible Solutions*, *Contemporary South Asia*, 6(3):262.
- Mohammad, Waseem (2011). *Patterns of Conflict in Pakistan: Implications for Policy*,
- Muhammad Nawaz Shahzad and Rizwanullah Khokab (2013). *Political Parties: A Factor of Stability in Pakistan 1999-2008*, *Asian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, Vol.2, No.4, November, pp.348-358.
- Muhammad, Aziz (2008). *Military Control in Pakistan: The Parallel State*, London: Rutledge, p.7
- Muhammad, Mushtaq and Ayaz, Muhammad (2011). *Non-consociational federalism and Ethnic Strife in Pakistan*, pp.225-234.

- Muhammad, Younis and Aisha Shehzad (2017). Exclusive Ethno-federalism and the Future of Federalism in Pakistan, *Journal of Arts and Social Sciences*, 4(2), pp.85-101.
- Nasreen, Akhtar (2008). Pakistan Undemocratic Political Culture, *Asian Studies Association of Australia*, Monash Asia Institute, p.8.
- Nawa-i-Waqt* (1977). Lahore, March, 1977.
- Nawa-i-Waqt* (1977). Rawalpindi, February 5 and 9.
- Pakistan Democratic Alliance (1991). How A Election was Stolen? PDA White Paper, Islamabad.
- Pakistan Legal Decisions (1977). Supreme Court of Pakistan, pp.657-763.
- Professor, Ghafoor, Ahmad (1988). Phir Martial Law Agaya, Lahore: pp.31-32.
- Rais, R.B. (1994). Pakistan: Hope Amid Turmoil, *Journal of Democracy*, 5(2). Pp.136-138.
- Raunaq, Jehan (1994). Pakistan's Failure in National Integration, USA: Columbia University Press, p.98.
- Sameel, Qureshi (1979). Analysis of Contemporary Pakistani Politics: Bhutto VS the Military, *Asian Survey*, 19(9):910-21. In Kathrine Adeney's Federalism and Ethnic Conflict Resolution in India and Pakistan, p.158.
- Sayed, Sharifudding, Pirzada (1986). The Collected Works of Quaid-e-Azam Muhammad Ali Jinnah, Lahore, p.319.
- Syed, Jafar, Ahmad (2004). Overview of the Constitution of Pakistan, *PILDAT*, Working Paper, No.17, in Maryam S. Khan (2014). p.112.
- Tahir, Amin (1988). Ethno-nationalist Movement of Pakistan: Domestic and International Factors, Islamabad: Institute of Policy Studies, p.88.
- Tahir, Amin (1993). Ethno-national Movements of Pakistan: Domestic and International Factors, Islamabad: Institute of Policy Studies, p.1.
- Tariq, Rehman (1995). Language and Politics in a Pakistan Province: The Sindhi Language Movement, *Asian Survey*, No.35, pp.1005-8.
- The Muslim* (October 24, 1989). Islamabad.
- The Muslim* (October 24, 1989). Islamabad.
- The Nation* (December 13, 1988).
- The News (1996). September 27.
- The News (1997). February 4.
- Umbreen, Javed and Amir, Latif (June 2017). Historical Analysis of Successive Governments in Pakistan: A History of First Six Decades 1947-2007, *Pakistan Vision*, Vol.18, No.1, pp.214-228.
- Umbreen, Javed and Amir, Latif (June 2017). Historical Analysis of Successive Governments in Pakistan: A History of First Six Decades 1947-2007, p.223.
- West Pakistan Act (1955). In Maryam, S. Khan (2014). Ethnic Federalism in Pakistan: Federal Design, Construction of Ethno Linguistic Identity & Group Conflict, p.99.
- Wolff Stefan, (2010). Consociationalism, Power Sharing, and Politics at the Center. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of International Studies*, p.5.



- Working Paper, November and January, Brooking, p.1.
- Younis, Samad (2013). Managing Diversity in Pakistan: Going Beyond Federation, Sustainable Development Policy Institute, Islamabad: Working Paper 131, p.4.
- Zahid, Mehmood (2015). Political Turmoil and Military Era of General Musharaf (1988-2007), *JPUHS*, Vol.28, No.2. July-December, pp.239-251.
- Zahid, Yaseen, *et.al.* (2016). Hypothetical Political System of Martial Laws: A Case Study of General Zia-ul-Haq, pp.123-24, 127-8.
-