



Effect of Scientific Balance and Conventional Rations on Yield and Composition of Milk in Kundhi Buffaloes

K. H. MEMON* A. B. KACHIWAL** A. SETHAR** M. I. MEMON*** G. M. BALOUCH**** M. N. SANJARANI***** M. R. MON***

Department of Physiology and Biochemistry, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan

Received 03rd September 2016 and Revised 06th April 2017

Abstract: The experiment was conducted on 12 buffaloes between 6 ± 1 years of age, weighing between 500 ± 50 kg kept at a commercial dairy farm, Tandojam. Four Kundhi Buffaloes were randomly allocated to each group A, B and C. Buffaloes in group A was fed on traditional ration, buffaloes in group B were fed on scientifically balanced ration prepared by researcher at department of Animal Nutrition, Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam and buffaloes in group C were given commercial dairy ration purchased from Hyderabad market.

Milk yield of group-A, B and C was 4.93 ± 0.50 , 6.73 ± 1.02 and 6.27 ± 1.198 liter/d, respectively. Milk production was significantly decreased ($P < 0.01$) in Group-A than B and C, whereas, group-B produced significantly more ($P < 0.01$) milk per day than C. Milk yield of Group-A, B, and C were 1794.30 ± 41.04 , 2450.32 ± 64.95 , 2280.38 ± 61.57 liter/buffalo, respectively. Milk production was significantly lower ($P < 0.01$) in group-A than C, whereas, group-B produced significantly more ($P < 0.01$) milk per buffalo than group-A and C.

The total cost per day on feeding of traditional, scientific balanced and commercial ration were 124, 155 and 139 rupees and total profit per day was 48.38, 80.55 and 80.45 rupees respectively. On scientific balanced rations buffaloes produced high milk yield and it was comparatively more profitable than traditional and commercial dairy rations.

Keywords: Buffaloes, Traditional, Milk yield, Feeds, Net profit

1. INTRODUCTION

Livestock contribution to agriculture value added stood at 58.6 percent while it contributes 11.6 percent to the national GDP during 2015-16 as compared to 56.4 percent and 11.7 percent during the corresponding period last year, respectively (Anonymus, 2016). Buffalo contribute 66.6% in Pakistan and major source of milk production contributing 12.1% in world, 38.0% in Asia's total milk production. In addition to the milk, the buffalo contributes 1.3 in world, 2.8 in Asia and 24.4% in Pakistan of total meat in these countries (FAO, 2007). The shortage of conventional feed resources is also a major constraint for increased milk productivity. A shortage is overcome by conserving forages as hay or silage and formulating feed ratios for milk producing buffaloes starts with theoretical calculating of their requirements. Due to lack of established feeding standards for dairy buffaloes. The traditional feeding system adopted by buffalo dairy farmers in Sindh province is strongly criticized because of imbalance formulation directly affecting health status, quantity and quality of milk produced. There are a number of commercial rations in the market manufactured by various companies. Care should be taken to ensure that

the quality of the commercial ration is up to the standard and requirement of dairy buffalo. Various pilot studies conducted in different agro-climatic regions of the countries revealed that it is possible to increase the productivity and reduce the cost of milk production through balanced feeding in an environmentally sustainable manner (Garg *et al.* 2013). Therefore, the study was planned to compare the quality and quantity of buffalo milk and cost effectiveness and performance under commercial and traditional feeding regimens in Kundhi buffaloes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted on 12 kundhi buffaloes between 6 ± 1 years of age, weighing between 500 ± 50 kg kept at a commercial dairy farm, Mircolony, Tandojam. Four Buffaloes was randomly allocated to each group A, B and C. Buffaloes in group A was fed on traditional ration, buffaloes in group B was fed on scientifically balanced ration prepared by researcher at department of Animal Nutrition, Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam and buffaloes in group-C were given commercial dairy ration available in Hyderabad market. Composition of Various Rations fed to Kundhi buffaloes.

⁺⁺Correspondence: Allah Bux Kachiwal; Email: kachiwal2003@gmail.com Cell: 0300-3058466

*Baqai Veterinary College Karachi.

**Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University of Animal and Veterinary Sciences Sakarand, Pakistan

***Department of Veterinary Medicine, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan

****Department of Animal Nutrition, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan

***** Livestock & Fisheries Department, Government of Sindh at Hyderabad.

INGREDIENTS	Traditional ration	Scientifically balanced ration	Commercial Ration
	Group A	Group B	Group C
Barseem (kg)	8	8	8
Wheat Straw (kg)	4	4	4
Cotton Seed Cake (kg)	4	3.2	1.4
Mustard Cake (kg)	0	0.2	0.2
Moong Kutta (kg)	0	1.0	1.0
Wheat Bran (kg)	2	0.8	2.0
Maize Crushed (kg)	0	0.4	1.0
Rice Polish (kg)	0	2.0	0.8
Molasses (kg)	0	0.4	0.8
Di-Calcium Phosphate / Limestone (kg)	0	0.05	0.05
NUTRIENTS			
Dry Matter (%)	61	61	61
Crude Protein (%)	18	16	13
Total Digestible Nutrients (%)	67	67	66
Crude Fiber (%)	19	19	18
Ash (%)	7	19	19
Calcium (%)	0.78	0.78	0.79
Phosphorus (%)	0.66	0.66	0.63

Daily milk yield was recorded on day-to-day basis for 90 days.

Data Analysis:

The data thus collected was tabulated, entered and statistically analyzed to discriminate the superiority of treatment means using analysis of variance for overall significance of differences and L.S.D test was employed to compare the treatment groups as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Milk production and composition were analyzed by a randomized statistical model as described by Tessmann *et al.* (1991).

3. RESULTS

Milk yield of Group-A, B, and C were 4.93 ± 0.50 , 6.73 ± 1.02 and 6.27 ± 1.198 liter/d, respectively. There was significant ($P < 0.01$) decrease in the milk production of Group-A than B and C, whereas, group-B produced significantly more ($P < 0.01$) milk per day than group-C.

The total cost per day on feeding of traditional, scientific balanced and commercial rations were Rs.124, Rs. 155 and Rs. 139 and total profit per day was Rs. 48.38, Rs. 80.55 and Rs. 80.45 respectively (**Table-2 and Fig-1**). Whereas, Total cost on feeding of traditional, scientific balanced and commercial rations were Rs.11175, Rs.13950 and Rs.12510 and net profit was Rs. 4354.5, Rs. 7249.5 and Rs. 7240.5 respectively (Table-3). The scientific ration was expensive but more profitable than traditional and commercial ration.

4. DISCUSSION

The role of balance and scientific ration in achieving high and sustainable livestock and milk productivity is fundamental and imperative. Proper nutritional management is the key to a successful animal reproduction and health program. Over the last four decades a number of animal-nutrition-based technologies and practices have been developed and applied with varying degrees of success. Some technologies have produced profound beneficial effects and have been employed widely; whereas, others have shown potential on research stations but have not been taken up by farmers (Mehra, 2001).

The present study was conducted on twelve buffaloes were randomly divided in three groups A, B, and C placing four buffaloes in each group and kept at a commercial dairy farm, Mircolony, Tandojam. Buffaloes in group A were fed on traditional rations, buffaloes in group B were fed on scientifically balanced ration prepared by researcher at Department of Animal Nutrition, Sindh Agriculture University Tandojam and buffaloes in group C were given commercial dairy ration purchased from Hyderabad market.

Feeding pattern for buffaloes all over the world is subjected to forages and crop production of the season which affects the level of milk production. Milk production for the first 12 weeks of 4th lactation was analyzed by a randomized statistical model as described by Tessmann *et al.* (1991). The effect of different ration on milk production and composition are shown in Table-1 and Fig.1. Milk yield response to commercial rations averagely increased 1.8 liter/day ($P < 0.01$) and 1.34 ($P < 0.01$) liters per day from group-A than B and C respectively through experimental period (Table- 1). Milk yield response to scientifically balanced rations averaged 656 liters ($P < 0.01$) and 448 liters ($P < 0.01$) liters from group-A than group-B and Group-C respectively throughout the experimental period (**Table-1**). Milk secretion curve showed progressively increased pattern with group-B and C, whereas, group-A remained constant with little or no variation in milk yield/day (Fig.3). Milk production increased steadily throughout the study period in group-B and C in the middle of period and then diminished toward basal production thereafter. The effect of different ration on milk yield was recorded high in group-B followed by group-A and C. The study is closed agreement with the other study mentioned the improvements in milk yield in response of scientific ration formulation (McGuffey *et al.* 1990, Fronk *et al.* 1983 and Peel *et al.* 1983).

Milk yield of Group-A, B and C were 4.93 ± 0.50 , 6.73 ± 1.02 and 6.27 ± 1.198 liter/d, respectively (**Table-2 and 3**). There was significant ($P < 0.01$)

decrease in the milk production of Group-A than B and C, whereas, group-B produced significantly more ($P<0.01$) milk per day than group-C. These improvements in milk yield are similar to those reported by others in cows (McGuffey *et al.* 1990, Fronk *et al.* 1983 and Peel *et al.* 1983). Milk yield of Group-A, B and C were 1794.30 ± 41.04 , 2450.32 ± 64.95 , 2280.38 ± 61.57 liter/buffalo, respectively (**Table-1**). There was significant difference ($P<0.01$) between the Group-A and C, whereas, group-B produced significantly more milk composition during 12 week of period was unaffected by different rations. In the present study the composition of milk was not affected by different ration. The other study also very closed and indicated the lack of change in milk composition was consistent with previous long term studies (Bauman *et al.* 1989, Bauman *et al.* 1985, Peel *et al.* 1983, and Soderholm *et al.* 1988) and further supported the normality of milk

from different rations being fed to buffaloes. There was statistically no difference among the composition of various groups.

In respect of milk production, it was calculated high in case of scientific based ration as stated in group-B. In another study by Bardhan *et al.*, (2005) indicated that feeding cost contributes about 60 to 70% of total cost of milk production. After providing balanced ration to the animals, it was authenticated that the average feed decreased and milk production was increased (Garg *et al.* 2009; Bardhan *et al.*, 2005).

On the basis of above findings, it was concluded that scientifically balanced feed enhance the milk production as well as significance rather than traditional or commercial feed.

ore ($P<0.01$) milk per buffalo than group-A and C.

Table (1): Effect of Various Rations on yield and composition of milk in Kundhi buffaloes.

Particulars	Group-A			Group-B			Group-C		
Milk Yield (liters/buffalo)	1794.30 ^{aa}	±	41.04	2450.32 ^{aabb}	±	64.95	2280.38 ^{aabb}	±	61.57
Milk Yield liter/day	4.93 ^{aa}	±	0.50	6.73 ^{aabb}	±	1.02	6.27 ^{aabb}	±	1.198
Post treatment period (days)	90	±	0.0	90	±	0.0	90	±	0.0




Table (2): Cost effectiveness and performance of buffaloes on Various Rations.

Rations	Milk yield (Lt/day)	Milk Earning (Rs./day)	Ration cost (Rs./day)	Net Profit (Rs./day)
Traditional	4.93	172.55	124	48.38
Scientific Balanced	6.73	235.55	155	80.55
Commercial	6.27	219.45	139	80.45

Table (3): Total cost of different rations, earnings and net profit on various rations during experimental period in buffaloes.

Rations	Total cost on ration (Rs.)	Total earning on milk (Rs.)	Net profit (Rs.)
Traditional	11175	15529.5	4354.5
Scientific Balanced	13950	21199.5	7249.5
Commercial	12510	19750.5	7240.5

REFERENCES:

- Anonymous (2016). Government of Pakistan, Finance Division, Advisor's Wing, Islamabad.
- Bardhan, D., Y. P. S. Dabas, A. Kumar and R.S.L. Srivastava, (2005). Milk Production Functions for Buffaloes in Udham Singh Nagar District of Uttaranchal/ Indian Dairyman, 57(3):49-53.
- Bauman, D. E., P. J. Eppard, M. J. DeGeeter, and G. M. Lanza, (1985). Responses of high-producing dairy cows to long-term treatment with pituitary somatotropin and recombinant somatotropin. J. Dairy Sci. 68: 1352.
- Baumann, G., M. A. Shaw, and K. Amburn, (1989). Regulation of plasma growth hormone-binding proteins in health and disease. Metabolism 38: 683.
- FAO, (2007). Agriculture Data. Food Agriculture Organization Statistics, Rome, Italy, <http://faostat.fao.org.default.asp>.
- Fronk, T. J., Q. J. Peel, D. E. Bauman, and R. C. Gorewit, (1983). Comparison of different patterns of exogenous growth hormone administration on milk production in Holstein cows. J. Anim. Sci. 57: 699.
- Garg, M. R., S. A. Biradar and A. Kannan, (2009). Assessment of impact of implementation ration balancing programme in lactating cows and buffaloes under field conditions. Indian J. Anim. Nutr. 26(2): 146-150.
- Garg, M. R., P. L. Sherasia, B. M. Bhandari, B. T. Phondba, and H. P. S. Makkar, (2013). Effects of feeding nutritionally balanced rations on animal productivity, feed conversion efficiency, feed nitrogen use efficiency, rumen microbial protein supply, parasitic load, immunity and enteric methane emissions of milking animals under *field conditions*. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 179: 24-35.
- Gomez G., (1984). Statistics and Agriculture analysis.
- McGuffey, R.K., H. B. Green, R P. Basson, and T. R. Ferguson (1990). Lactation response of dairy cows receiving bovine somatotropin via daily injections or in a sustained-release vehicle. J. Dairy Sci. 73: 763.
- Mehra, K. L. (2001). Animal biotechnologies; benefits and concerns. In: National Workshop on conservation and management of genetic resources of livestock, Natl. Acad. Agric. Sci. New Delhi-110067, India.
- Peel, C. J., T. J. Fronk, D. E. Bauman, and R. C. Gorewit, (1983). Effect of exogenous growth hormone in early and late lactation on lactational performance of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 667-76.
- Soderholm, C. G., D. I. Oterby, J. G. Linn, F. R. Wheaton, (1988). Effects of recombinant bovine somatotropin on milk production, body composition and physiological parameters. J. Dairy Sci. 71: 355-362..
- Tessmann, N. J., H.D. Radloff, J. Kleinmans, T. R. Dhiman, and L.D. Satter. (1991). Milk production response to dietary forage: grain ratio. J. Dairy Sci. 74: 2696-2698.