

Sindh Univ. Res. Jour. (Sci. Ser.) Vol. 51 (2) 309-318 (2019)

http://doi.org/10.26692/sujo/2019.6.51 SINDH UNIVERSITY RESEARCH JOURNAL (SCIENCE SERIES)



Effect of Dietary Protein Levels on Body Composition of Catlacatla from Pakistan

A.ISHTIAQ, M. NAEEM*

Institute of Pure and Applied Biology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Pakistan

Received 09th October 2018 and Revised 8thMarch 2019

Abstract: Samples of major carp, *Catlacatla*, were collected for the analyses of proximate body composition which were fed 15% crude protein (CP) in treatment-1 (T1), 20% CP in treatment-2 (T2), 25% CP in treatment-3 (T3) and 30% CP in treatment-4 (T4), reared under polyculture system in earthen ponds with a stocking density of 2000 fish/acre. Moisture, ash, fat, protein, organic contents and fat free dry mass were measured in fish samples of the different treatments. In the wet weight of the *Catlacatla*, mean values of moisture, ash, fat and protein contents were ranged 72.93%-81.88%, 3.26%-6.03%, 3.35%-6.83% and 13.52%-15.94, respectively, in different treatments. Results also revealed a definite effect of varying dietary protein levels on the proximate body composition of *Catlacatla*. Moisture and protein contents in wet weight of the fish body were found significantly higher (p<0.05) in the fish samples fed with 25% (T3) and 30% CP (T4) than those provided 15% (T1) and 20% CP in artificial fish feed(T2). However, percentage ash and fat contents in wet body weight of *C. catla* were not found significantly different (p>0.05) in different treatments. Results foregression analyses showed strong positive correlation (p<0.001) of percent moisture content with percent ash content while negative correlation with protein and fat percentage, in wet body weight of *Catlacatla*.Negative correlation was found in %moisture and %ash content, while %fat and %protein were positively correlated with fish size (weight and length) in wet body weight for all treatments. The baseline data generated on nutritional composition of this carp would be of use for both the consumers as well as fish processors.

Keywords: Major carp, Artificial fish feed, Fish body contents, Fish size, Condition factor, Regression model equations

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Fish receives great consideration as a potential source of various essential nutrients and animal protein for human diets (Fawole *et al.*, 2007). In Asian and other developing countries, fish adds a valuable amount of total animal protein (Iqbal *et al.*, 2014). Along with nutritive capabilities, fish protein is also considered vital source of income for the people of these countries. Thus, fish farming is expanding in developing as well as developed countries (Louka *et al.*, 2004).

Major carp are among the fish fauna that dominates South Asia and are the main species offish that is cultivated in both the public and private sectors.

The cyprinid species, *Catlacatla* (Thaila), nonpredatory major carp, is the most imperative member of existing fish farming system in Pakistan and India, because of acquiescence to rear in different ecosystems and consumer preference (Ayyappan and Jena, 1998).

Proximate body composition of fish has been of interest in in health and nutrition studies (Tobin *et al.*, 2006) due to increased interest in the safety and quality of fish products (Dumas *et al.*, 2010). Information on body composition is vital for maximal utilization of fish and its products (Silva and Chamul, 2000). It is also often required to confirm that fish flesh meet the requirements of commercial specifications and food regulations (Sutharshiny and Sivashanthini, 2011). Moreover, fish body

composition is of unlimited attention in fisheries and aquaculture sector because it affects growth, appetite and food utilization efficiency of fish (Breck, 2014). It also influences on other traitsof ecology and fish biology, including survival, reproduction and energy value to predators. Energy density of fish can also be estimated from the body composition. The relationships among body size, water and other body contents of the fish can also be valuable in studying different aspects of fish growth and bioenergetics (Breck, 2014). Naeem et al. (2011), Yousaf et al. (2011), Iqbal et al. (2014) and Khalid and Naeem (2018) have earlier studied the body contents of different fish species from the rivers, reservoir and farms of Pakistan and statedthat freshwater fishes are high quality source of protein. Thus, it is imperative to determine the percentage of different body contents of fish and to define some very strong patterns and very general in body composition with fish size and condition factor. This may also help in demonstrating how body composition can be assessed by taking mass of water contents (Naeem and Ishtiaq, 2011).

Normally, body composition of whole fish consists of moisture, protein and fat percentages as 70-80%, 20-30% and2-12%, respectively (Love, 1980). Proximate composition of fish relay on various factors including season, habitat, sex of the fish and food availability (Brett *et al.*, 1969; Oliveira *et al.*, 2003).

Intensification of fish production has led to dependence on artificial feeds. Although, protein is considered the most expensive constituent in fish

Corresponding author: Muhammad Naeem, Email:dr_naeembzu@yahoo.com,Tell.No.+92 3337378881

feeds but it is also the imperative aspect affecting feed cost and growth performance of fish (Luo *et al.*, 2004). Dietary protein levels significantly effects survival, growth, yield (Siddiqui and Khan, 2009)and body composition of fish (Parveen *et al.*, 2012).

Hence, the objective of this work was to study the effect of varying dietary protein levels (15%, 20%, 25%, and 30% crude protein) on proximate body composition of *Catlacatla* and to determine higher protein content percentage by feeding the diet containing minimum crude protein percentage, reared under polyculture system, from southern Punjab, Pakistan.

2. <u>MATERIALS AND METHODS</u>

Four different fish feeds, comprising 15%, 20%, 25% and 30% crude protein (CP), were formulated by using locally available fish feed ingredients like Canola Meal, Corn Gluten Meal 30% and 60%, Dicalcium Phosphate, Fishmeal, Rice Polish, Sarson (Mustard Seed) Meal, Soybean Meal, Soybean Oil, Sunflower Meal, Vitamin Premixes and Wheat Bran. Feed ingredients were ground and mixed to homogenized, and pelleted.

Young Catlacatla were procured from a public sector hatchery and were reared in earthen ponds of southern Punjab, Pakistan, after acclimatization for one week by feeding diet containing 30% CP, under polyculture system with a stocking density of 2000 fish/acre for 180 days, during July to December, 2014. At the start of feeding trial, mean±SEtotal length and body weight of fish was recorded as 11.12±1.64 cm and 44.63±16.77g, respectively. Fish were fed with diets comprising 15% CP in treatment-1 (T1), 20% CP in treatment-2 (T2), 25% CP in treatment-3 (T3) and 30% CP in treatment-4 (T4), in triplicate, at the rate of 4% of body weight of the fish. Water quality parameters were monitored and kept in suitable ranges for the fish growth, during the feeding trial. Water depth was maintained upto 1.5 meter in each pond throughout the feeding trial.

At the end of feeding trial, 30 samples from each treatment, and a total of 120 samples of *Catlacatla* were collected with the help of drag net, for analyses of body composition.

Collected fish samples were anaesthetized using MS222 (Sandoz). After blotting dry, fish specimens were measured for total length (TL) and weighed to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.01 g, respectively, and condition factor was also calculated (Weatherley and Gill, 1987). Moister content was determined by oven drying method. Each fish sample was kept into the oven (Incucell, MMM Medcenter Einrichtungen GmbH, MMM-Group) by placing in the aluminum foil tray till constant weight. Dry matter of each fish specimen was finely ground and homogenized for further analyses.

Total ash content of the fish was determined by burning dry powder of sample at 550°C for 24 h in muffle furnace (RJM-1.8-10A). Fat content was extracted in chloroform and methanol mixture (ratio 1:2 v/v) following the method of Bligh and Dyer (1959), and Salam and Davies (1994). In this process, weighted powder of each fish sample was taken in test tube, mixed with solution of above solvents, stirred and then covered with aluminum foil. It was left over night and then centrifuged. The clear supernatant of each sample was removed carefully into pre-weighed small glass bottles and placed in oven that evaporated the solvent to dryness and leaving the lipid fraction. Protein contents of the fish were assessed by difference from weight of other leadingcontents *i.e.*, moisture, ash and fat, following Weatherley and Gill (1987), Salam and Davies (1994) and Naeem and Ishtiaq (2011). Organic contents were calculated ultimately, by difference from ash content.

Percentages of various body contents obtained were statistically analyzed by using One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine the effects of dietary crude protein level on the body composition of the fish. Differences among treatment means were determined by Duncan's Multiple Range Test at a p<0.05 level of significance. Statistical analyses were performed by using Mini Tab and SPSS (IBM, version 23). Correlation coefficients for regression analyses were considered significant at p<0.001, p<0.01 and p<0.05 (Zar, 1996). Variance inflation factor was also calculated for multiple regression analyses.

3. **RESULTS**

Total length (TL), wet body weight (WW) and condition factor (K) of *Catlacatla* from different treatments are given in **Table 1**. While, various constituents in percentage (%) of wet (WW) and dry weight (DW) for *C.catla* from different treatments are provided in **Table 2**.

3.1 Moisture Contentin Catlacatla

Overall moisture content in all four groups ranged from 72.93% to 81.88% of wet body weight of *Catlacatla*. Mean (\pm SE) values of moisture content in the wet body weight of *C.catla* was found 76.73% (\pm 0.35), 77.05% (\pm 0.37), 74.82% (\pm 0.14) in T1, T2 and T3, while 75.12% (\pm 0.17) in T4, respectively, when reared under polyculture system (**Table 2**).

Results for analysis of variance (ANOVA) for moisture in percentage (%) of WW and DW in different treatments for *C.catla* indicated that moisture content contents in whole fish body was affected by dietary protein level. Results of Duncan's multiple range test for percentage moisture content in the body of *C.catla* indicated that mean moisture content (%) in T1 and T2 was found significantly higher than T3 and T4 group in the body of *C.catla*.

3.2 Ash Contents inCatlacatla

Percentage ash content (WW) of C. catla was not found significantly different (p>0.05) among treatments for C. catla. Ash content (DW) of C. catla

. .

.. ..

. .

in T1 (15%CP) was found significantly highest (p < 0.05) and was found significantly lowest in the fish reared in T3 (25%CP).

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of size and condition factor for farmed Catlacatla
--

	Total Length (cm)		Wet Body V	Veight (g)	Condition Factor		
	Range	Mean ± SE	Range	Mean ± SE	Range	Mean ± SE	
Treatment 1(15% CP)	35.00 - 41.90	38.57±0.36	560.55 - 1003.94	775.60±20.71	1.29 - 1.41	1.34 ±0.01	
Treatment 2(20% CP)	35.00 - 41.70	38.82±0.41	553.48 - 999.04	794.76±24.40	1.27 - 1.42	1.35±0.01	
Treatment 3(25% CP)	37.10 - 42.00	40.48 ± 0.32	681.75 - 1105.77	911.92±23.42	1.28 - 1.50	1.37 ± 0.01	
Treatment 4 (30%CP)	36.80-42.00	40.28±0.32	675.31 - 1091.90	901.48±23.00	1.28 - 1.51	1.37 ± 0.06	

Table 2: Mean values (± Standard Error) and ranges of various constituents in percentage (%) of wet weight (WW) and dry weight (DW) for C catla

				(211)	ior c. cumu.				
Constituents	5	Treatr (15%	nent 1 oCP)	Treatr (20%	nent 2 %CP)	Treatment 3 (25%CP)		Treatment 4 (30%CP)	
		Range	Mean±SE	Range	Mean±SE	Range	Mean±SE	Range	Mean±SE
Moisture		74.44-81.88	76.73±0.35ª	73.79-81.34	77.05±0.37ª	73.63-76.53	74.82±0.14 ^b	72.93-76.56	75.12±0.17 ^b
Ash	%WW	3.32-6.03	4.51±0.13 ^a	3.26-5.97	4.25±0.15 ^a	3.26-5.57	4.15±0.13 ^a	3.32-5.52	4.18±0.12 ^a
	%DW	13.04-33.28	19.70±0.87 ^a	12.97-32.01	18.98±0.99 ^{ab}	12.42-23.73	16.58±0.60 ^c	13.18-23.19	16.88±0.50 ^{bc}
Fat	%WW	4.20-5.89	5.12±0.09 ^a	3.66-6.83	5.18±0.17 ^a	4.13-5.90	5.10±0.10 ^a	3.35-6.06	5.18±0.13 ^a
	%DW	19.51-25.99	22.02±0.29 ^a	18.39-26.97	22.44±0.48 ^a	17.37-22.39	20.20±0.31b	14.27-24.08	20.75±0.44 ^b
Protein	%WW	7.71-16.27	13.65±0.39 ^b	9.02-16.30	13.52±0.37 ^b	13.77-17.26	15.94±0.17 ^a	13.22-17.47	15.53±0.18 ^a
	%DW	42.55-63.88	58.27±0.89 ^b	47.57-63.94	58.58±0.77 ^b	58.69-65.90	63.22±0.33ª	54.79-68.17	62.36±0.46 ^a
Organic	%WW	12.09-22.14	18.76±0.45 ^b	12.69-22.76	18.70±0.51 ^b	17.90-23.04	21.03±0.26 ^a	18.30-23.50	20.70±0.25 ^a
content	% DW	66.72-86.96	80.30±0.87°	67.99-87.03	81.02±0.99 ^{bc}	76.28-87.58	83.42±0.60 ^a	76.81-86.82	83.12±0.55 ^{ab}
Fat free	%WW	13.74-20.57	18.16±0.30 ^b	14.82-19.87	17.77±0.25 ^b	19.34-20.54	20.09±0.06 ^a	18.47-21.04	19.71±0.12 ^a
dry mass	%DW	74.01-80.49	77.98±0.29 ^b	73.03-81.61	77.56±0.48 ^b	77.61-82.63	79.80±0.31ª	75.92-85.73	79.25±0.44 ^a

Mean values sharing same superscript are not significantly different (p>0.05)

3.3 Fat ContentsinCatlacatla

Percentage fat content in wet weight of C. catlawas not significantly affected (p>0.05), when fed with various levels of protein diets (15%, 20%, 25% and 30% CP). However, fish fed 15% and 20% protein diets had significantly higher (p < 0.05) fat content (DW) of C. catla than those fed higher protein diets (25% and 30%).

3.4 Protein ContentsinCatlacatla

Fish fed a fish feed containing 15% crude protein (CP) in T1 and 20% CP in T2 had significantly lower (p < 0.05) body protein than those fed higher protein diets, 25% CP in T3 and 30% in T4. Significant difference was also indicated for protein content (DW) of C. catla reared in different treatments. Lower protein content percentage (DW) of C. catlawas found in the fish samples collected from T1 and T2 than T3 and T4.

3.5 Organic Content inCatlacatla

Percentage organic content (wet body weight) of Catlacatla fed 15% (T1) and 20% (T2) protein in artificial feed was found significantly lower than those fed 25% (T3) and 30% (T4) crude protein in artificial fish feed. C. catlareared in T1 had significantly lowest organic content (dry weight), while highest in the fish reared in T3 (25%CP).

3.6 Fat Free Dry Mass inCatlacatla

Results of ANOVA and Duncan tests indicated that fat free dry mass (WW, DW) of C.catla in T3 and T4 were significantly higher than that of T1 and T2.

3.7 Correlation between Moisture Content and Various Body Constituents

Regression analyses and statistical parameters of percentage moisture(%M) content versus percentage (%) body constituents (WW, DW) of $\hat{C}.catla$, in treatments 1 (T1), treatments 2 (T2), treatments 3 (T3) and treatment 4 (T4), respectively, are represented in (Table 3).

Strong correlation (p < 0.001) were found in all relationships between percent moisture and different body constituents with correlation coefficient (r) value range 0.605 to 0.992, except for % fat that was found significantly correlated (p < 0.01) with % moisture content in T2 and T4, while % protein and fat contents (DW) of C. catla were found insignificantly correlated in T1 (15% CP) and T4 (30% CP). All the regression analyses of studied treatments showed positive correlation in the relationships between % moisture and % ash content (WW, DW) with slope (b) value range 0.262 to 4.185.

While all other body constituents (fatand protein contents) percentage were observed negative correlation with percentage of moisture content in farmed C. catla(Table 3).

Effect of Distary Protein Levels on Body Size and Percentage of Body Constituents

Regression equations were also developed to analyze the relationship of WW and TL with percentage of each body constituent, and the different regression parameters are presented in (Table 4) and (Table 5), respectively. WW and TL of C. catla were found strongly correlated (p < 0.001) with all studied body constituents (%) of the fish in all treatments,

however, % fat (DW) was significantly correlated (p<0.01) with WW (r=0.523) and TL (r = 0.500) in

Equation	Treatment	r	а	b	SE of b	t-Stat
	T1	0.681***	-15.569	0.262	0.053	4.920
	T2	0.897***	-23.288	0.357	0.033	10.710
%A(ww) = a + b %W	Т3	0.956***	-61.065	0.872	0.050	17.308
	T4	0.605***	-27.502	0.422	0.105	4.019
	T1	0.866***	-145.317	2.151	0.234	9.174
	T2	0.945***	-175.463	2.524	0.166	15.238
(DW) = a + b M	Т3	0.966***	-296.545	4.185	0.210	19.885
	T4	0.719***	-160.662	2.364	0.432	5.467
	T1	0.678***	17.805	-0.165	0.034	-4.883
$0/E(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b} 0/\mathbf{M}$	T2	0.826***	34.020	-0.374	0.048	-7.769
%F(ww) = a + b %w	Т3	0.935***	56.313	-0.685	0.049	-13.951
	T4	0.724***	47.450	-0.563	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-5.559
	T1	0.330 ^{ns}	1.029	0.274	0.148	1.852
$\% \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{b} \% \mathbf{M}$	T2	0.514**	73.888	-0.668	0.211	-3.170
%F(DW) = a + b %M	Т3	0.882***	165.381	-1.941	0.196	-9.884
	T4	0.547**	129.624	-1.449	0.419	-3.462
	T1	0.990***	97.763	-1.096	0.030	-36.825
0' D(WWD - a + b 0' M	T2	0.981***	89.268	-0.983	0.037	-26.659
% P(ww) = a + b % w	Т3	0.987***	104.752	-1.187	0.036	-32.832
	T4	0.807***	80.051	-0.859	0.119	-7.221
	T1	0.956***	244.287	-2.424	0.141	-17.210
$\% \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}) = a + b \% \mathbf{M}$	T2	0.890***	201.575	-1.856	0.180	-10.325
70 F(DW) = a + 0 % M	Т3	0.942***	231.165	-2.245	0.151	-14.870
	T4	0.332 ^{ns}	131.037	-0.914	0.491	-1.861

 Table 3: Regression analyses and statistical parameters of percentage moisture (%M) content versus % body constituents in wet

 (WW) and dry body weight (DW) of C. catla reared under polyculture system in different treatments.

%M=Percentage of Moister; A=Ash; F=Fat; P=Protein; WW=wet weight; DW=dry weight; r=Correlation coefficient; a=Intercept; b=Slope; S.E=Standard Error; ***= *p*<0.001; ** = *p*<0.01; ^{ns}>0.05

Table 4: Regression analyses of wet body weight (W, g) of fish versus percentage (%) of body constituents in wet weight (WW) and dry weight (DW) of *C. catla*reared under polyculture system in different treatments.

	Equation	Treatment	r	а	b	S. E. (b)	t value when b=0
		T1	0.672***	85.546	-0.011	0.002	-4.797
		T2	0.899***	87.885	-0.014	0.001	-10.875
	%M = a + b WW	T3	0.962***	80.042	-0.006	0.0003	-18.734
		T4	0.592***	78.977	-0.004	0.001	-3.889
		T1	0.988***	9.493	-0.006	0.0002	-33.954
	%A (WW)=a + b WW	T2	0.987***	8.997	-0.006	0.0002	-32.461
	· ·	T3	0.992***	9.062	-0.005	0.0001	-41.853
		T4	0.965***	8.570	-0.005	0.0002	-19.519
		T1	0.930***	50.027	-0.039	0.003	-13.433
	%A (DW)=a+b WW	T2	0.966***	50.081	-0.039	0.002	-19.842
		Т3	0.989***	39.849	-0.026	0.001	-36.218
		T4	0.951***	37.278	-0.023	0.001	-16.281
		T1	0.922***	2.163	0.004	0.0003	12.609
		T2	0.934***	0.078	0.006	0.0005	13.844
	%F (WW)=a + D WW	Т3	0.973***	1.229	0.004	0.0002	22.423
		T4	0.599***	2.140	0.003	0.001	3.962
		T1	0.375 ^{ns}	17.940	0.005	0.002	2.144
	$\Phi(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W})) = \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}$	T2	0.752***	10.658	0.015	0.002	6.046
	%F(DW)=a+bWWW	T3	0.946***	8.892	0.012	0.001	15.452
		T4	0.523**	11.724	0.010	0.003	3.249
		T1	0.746***	2.798	0.014	0.002	5.932
	$0/\mathbf{D} (\mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}$	T2	0.868***	3.040	0.013	0.001	9.234
	%P (w w)=a + 0 w w	T3	0.960***	9.667	0.007	0.0004	18.091
		T4	0.751***	10.313	0.006	0.001	6.016
		T1	0.788***	32.033	0.034	0.005	6.776
	$0/\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{h} \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}$	T2	0.769***	39.261	0.024	0.004	6.364
A.ISHTIAQ,	M. NAEEM $a + b w w$	T3	0.925***	51.259	0.013	0.001	12.845
		T4	0.633***	50.998	0.013	0.003	4.321

313

 Table 5: Regression analyses of total length (TL, cm) versus % body constituents of *C. catla*, reared under polyculture system in different treatments.

Equation	Treatment	r	а	b	S. E.(b)	<i>t</i> value whenb=0
	T1	0.665***	101.820	-0.651	0.138	-4.706
0/ M + h TI	T2	0.883***	108.024	-0.798	0.080	-9.962
%M =a + b 1 L	Т3	0.903***	90.831	-0.396	0.036	-11.109
	T4	0.546**	86.675	-0.287	0.083	-3.444
	T1	0.985***	18.805	-0.371	0.012	-30.502
(4.4)	T2	0.978***	17.938	-0.352	0.014	-25.069
%A(WW) = a + b TL	Т3	0.974***	19.908	-0.389	0.017	-22.946
	T4	0.940***	18.066	-0.345	0.024	-14.522
	T1	0.928***	106.689	-2.255	0.171	-13.169
	T2	0.957***	108.681	-2.311	0.132	-17.542
%A(DW)=a+b1L	Т3	0.971***	91.213	-1.844	0.085	-21.672
	T4	0.924***	81.269	-1.599	0.125	-12.765
	T1	0.941***	-3.550	0.225	0.015	14.709
$0' = (WW)_{-a} + b = TI$	T2	0.912***	-9.314	0.373	0.032	11.774
% F (WW)=a + 0 1 L	Т3	0.945***	-7.176	0.303	0.020	15.303
	T4	0.566**	-4.142	0.231	0.064	3.633
	T1	0.410*	9.190	0.333	0.140	2.381
% E(DW) = a + bTI	T2	0.739***	-11.251	0.868	0.149	5.810
$\% \Gamma (D W) = a + 0 \Gamma L$	T3	0.935***	-16.316	0.902	0.065	13.972
	T4	0.500**	-7.301	0.697	0.228	3.056
	T1	0.735***	-17.075	0.797	0.139	5.730
0' D (WW) - a + b TI	T2	0.858***	-16.649	0.777	0.088	8.845
% P (W W)=a + b 1 L	T3	0.914***	-3.563	0.482	0.040	11.923
	T4	0.715***	-0.598	0.400	0.074	5.405
	T1	0.774***	-15.879	1.923	0.297	6.475
$(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{DW})) = \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{I}$	T2	0.766***	2.570	1.443	0.229	6.302
70 P (DW) = a + 0 IL	Т3	0.902***	25.102	0.942	0.085	11.048
	T4	0.622***	26.032	0.902	0.215	4.205

%M=Percentage of Moister; A=Ash; F=Fat; P=Protein; WW= Wet Weight; DW= Dry Weight; TL= Total Length; ***= p < 0.001; ** = p < 0.01; * = p < 0.05

Table 6: Multiple regression relationships among percentages (%) of body constituents (Moisture, Ash, Fat, Protein) in wet body
weight (WW, g), wet body weight (WW, g), total length (TL, cm) and condition factor (K) for C. catla.

Equations	Treatment	r	а	b ₁ ±S.E	b ₂ ±S.E	VIF
	T1	0.411*	89.02	-0.137±0.737	-0.009±0.013	1.825
	T2	0.795***	89.257	-0.053±0.377	-0.013±0.006	5.236
%IVI=a+b ₁ I L+b ₂ w w	T3	0.923***	78.184	0.065±0.074	-0.007±0.001	13.889
	T4	0.305 ^{ns}	76.795	0.076±0.253	-0.005±0.003	1.546
	T1	0.981***	13.513	-0.158±0.050	-0.004±0.0008	58.824
0/ 4 . 1 701 . 1 337337	T2	0.976***	11.675	-0.104±0.052	-0.004±0.0009	43.478
$A=a+b_1$ IL+b ₂ WW	T3	0.994***	12.704	-0.127±0.020	-0.004±0.0002	142.857
	T4	0.933***	11.184	-0.091±0.055	-0.003±0.0007	16.129
	T1	0.877***	-3.852	0.237±0.082	-0.0002±0.001	8.772
	T2	0.863***	0.806	-0.028±0.139	0.007±0.002	7.874
$%F=a+b_1 I L+b_2 W W$	T3	0.947***	-0.569	0.063±0.045	0.003±0.0006	20.408
	T4	0.312 ^{ns}	2.290	-0.005±0.195	0.003±0.002	1.560
	T1	0.524**	1.32	0.058±0.734	0.013±0.013	2.257
	T2	0.736***	-1.74	0.186±0.428	0.010±0.007	4.082
$P=a + b_1 I L + b_2 W W$	T3	0.915***	9.680	-0.0004±0.093	0.007±0.001	12.658
	T4	0.532**	9.731	0.020±0.220	0.005±0.003	2.294
	T1	0.414*	89.62	-0.011±0.002	-2.990±7.849	1.832
0/ 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	T2	0.794***	88.483	-0.013±0.001	-0.454±3.801	5.236
$M = a + b_1 W W + b_2 K$	T3	0.924***	81.034	-0.006±0.0004	-0.857±0.842	14.085
	T4	0.304 ^{ns}	79.585	-0.004±0.001	-0.525±2.787	1.543
	T1	0.976***	8.421	-0.006±0.0001	0.788±0.610	45.455
0/ A 1 11/11/ 1 17	T2	0.972***	8.679	-0.006±0.0002	0.241±0.556	0.026
$A=a+b_1 WW+b_2 K$	T3	0.991***	7.571	-0.006±0.0001	1.288±0.262	0.008
	T4	0.932***	7.494	-0.005±0.0002	0.929±0.605	0.063
	T1	0.875***	5.490	0.004±0.0003	-2.444±0.885	0.117
	T2	0.864***	0.769	0.006 ± 0.0004	-0.523±1.402	0.127
%F=a + b ₁ W W +b ₂ K	T3	0.947***	2.048	0.004±0.0002	-0.708±0.512	0.049
	T4	0.312 ^{ns}	2.386	0.003±0.001	-0.212±2.152	0.641
	T1	0.530**	-3.53	0.014±0.002	4.646±7.781	0.437
0/10.0	T2 c	.0.735***	2.068	0.013±0.001	0.737±4.328	0.247
r/of=Detaby Moveth_lleve	s on Body Comp 13	0.916***	9.347	0.007 ± 0.0004	0.277±1.064	0.079
	T4	0.532**	10.536	0.006±0.001	-0.192±2.434	0.436

T4 (CP-30) and non-significantly correlated (p> 0.05; r = 0.375) with WW while least significant (p<0.05;

r = 0.410) with TL of *C. catla* in T1 which was fed an artificial fish feed containing 15% crude protein level.

Furthermore, % fat (WW) was found significant (p<0.01; r = 0.566) in T4 which was fed 30% CP level in artificial fish feed. % Moisture and ash (in both WW and DW) showed negative correlation while % fat and protein contents (WW, DW) displayed positive correlations with an increase in size of the *C. catla*.

3.9 Multiple Regression Analyses (MRA)

Table 6 shows results multiple regression analyses (MRA) among percentages (%) of body constituents (moisture, ash, fat and protein) in wet weight (WW, g) total length (TL, cm) and condition factor (K) for *C. catla*, fed with 15% (T1), 20% (T2), 25% (T3) and 30% (T4) crude protein in artificial fish feed.

Percentages of moisture (%M), ash (%A), fat (%F) and protein (%P) contents in wet weight (WW) of *Catlacatla* were observed strongly correlated (p< 0.001) with multiple correlation coefficient (r) value ranged from 0.736 to 0.994 in all treatments (T1-T4), except for %P which was found significantly correlated in T1 (r = 0.524) and T4 (r=0.532), % M which was found least significantly correlated (p< 0.05) in T1 (r = 0.411), and %M and %F which showed insignificant correlations (p>0.05) with total length (TL) and wet weight (WW) of *Catlacatla*.

Similarly, %M, %A, %F and %P (WW) of *Catlacatla* were found highly correlated (p<0.001) with correlation coefficient ranged from 0.735 to 0.991 in all treatments (T1-T4), except for %P which was found significantly correlated in T1 (r = 0.530) and T4 (r=0.532), %M which was found least significantly correlated (p<0.05) in T1 (r = 0.414), while % M and % F which showed insignificant correlations (p>0.05) with wet weight and condition factor (K) of *C. catla*.

Variance Inflation Factor was also calculated for multiple regression analyses. %M, %F and %Pshowed high multicollinearity (VIF > 10) with TL and WW of *C. catla* in T1, T2 and T4. While except %Min T3 and %A in T1, all other analyses of treatments for multiple correlation of percent body constituents (%M, %A, %F and %P) with wet weight and condition factor showed high multicollinearity (VIF > 10) with variance inflation factor values ranged from 0.026 to 5.236.

DISCUSSION

4.

Many researcher have studied the effect of different protein levels on growth performance of different carp species, for example, Dars *et al.* (2010) have carried out experiments to evaluate the effect of different diets containing 30%, 35% and 40% CP on the growth and survival of *Catlacatla* in glass aquaria and stated that diet comprising 35% CP can be

appropriate for the better growth and production of Catlacatla. There are also many data in the literature for proximate body composition of different carps when feeding diets containing various levels of protein in tanks, indoor cemented ponds and glass aquaria (Singh et al., 2006; Siddiqui and Khan, 2009; Jiang et al., 2015 Guy et al., 2018), however concerning the proximate references body composition of Catlacatla when feeding diets containing various levels of protein and reared under polyculture system in earthen ponds, are limited and insufficient. There sults revealed that proximate body composition was definitely effected by various crude protein level in fish feed. The body composition of grow-out fish under experimental feeds provided in T1 and T2 (15% and 20% crude protein, respectively) showed significant differences $(p \le 0.05)$ with experimental feeds given to the fish in T3 and T4 (25% and 30% crude protein, respectively).

Different body contents, examined in the whole body of farmed *Catlacatla* from different treatments, fed with artificial dietcomprising 15% CP, 20% CP, 25% CP and 30% CP in T1, T2, T3 and T4, have comparable values to those reported by Khan *et al.* (2012) and Pradhan *et al.* (2014) for the whole body composition of different fish species from wild of farming system.

The percentage of moisture is a good indicator of its protein and lipid (Yeannes and Almandos, 2003). Moisture content of *Catlacatla* in all the four treatments was found within the acceptable level (70-80%) as documented by Adewumi *et al.* (2014). Moisture content in *Catlacatla* agreed with observation of Zehra and Khan (2013) and Hasan *et al.* (2015), who have reported moisture content 75.27-78.15% by feeding diets (33%CP) containing varying levels of dietary arginine and 72.53-76.65%, collected from local retail markets, wholesale markets and rearing ponds, respectively, in the body of the same species.

Fat content in fish muscles determines the quality of fish meat (Love, 1980). From the results obtained, the mean lipid contents in different treatments (5.10%-5.18%) for *Catlacatla* indicated medium fat fish. As, Ackman (1989) categorized fish into lean (< 2 %), low fat (2 to 4 %), medium fat (4 to 8%), and high fat fish (> 8%) according to their fat content.

Khan *et al.* (2012) reported 5.4% fat content in *Catlacatla* under monoculture system by feeding 35% protein in diet, while Zehra and Khan (2013) stated 4.97% fat content in the fingerlings *Catlacatla*. These observations are in conformity with the results of the present study. However, on the other hand, Khan *et al.* (2012) also documented 3.0% fat content in *C.catla*under polyculture system by feeding 35% protein in diet. It is further inferred that dietary

protein levels in categorically affects the fat content of *Catlacatla*.

According to Ahmed and Maqbool (2017), body composition of fish is affected by the dietary protein levels. However, Jiang et al. (2015) evaluated the effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on body compositions of hybrid grouper $(Epinepheluslanceolatus \mathcal{J} \times Epinephelusfuscogutt$ $atus \stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow}$) juveniles and found that dietary protein levels had no significant effect on body contents of this fish. In the present study, fish fed with 25% CP in T3 and 30% CP in T4 had significantly higher crude protein content than fish fed with 15% CP in T1 and 20% CP in T2. The higher protein content in the body of Catlacatla in T3 and T4 might be due to the consumption of fish feed with higher crude protein. Mean percent protein was found 13.65±0.39, 13.52±0.37, 15.94±0.17 and 15.53±0.18 in WW of C. catlainT1, T2 and T3 and T4, respectively. On the other hand, Zehra and Khan (2013) reported protein percentage 15.43% in the carcass of fingerling Catlacatla by feeding 33% crude protein level in its diet. While Khan et al. (2012) have reported 11.9% and 13.9% protein in the whole body of this species when fed with 35% protein diet reared under poly-and monoculture system, respectively. Ahmed and Maqbool (2017) studied proximate composition of Cyprinuscarpiospecularis, byfeeding diets with different dietary protein levels (25%-50% CP) and reported moisture, ash, fat and protein contents as 77.49%, 3.16%, 4.81% and 13.15% by feeding 25% dietary protein and 75.66%, 2.90%, 5.41% and 14.27% by feeding 30% dietary protein, respectively. These observations are in conformity with the results of the present study. On the other hand, some researches contradict from these findings. As Hasan et al. (2015) have reported a higher protein content (19.54%), while Khan et al. (2012) reported a lower protein percentage (11.9%) in the body of *Catlacatla*. Guyet al. (2018) Have found 72.4%, 9.9%, 8.7% and 15.4% moisture, ash, fat and protein contents, respectively, in Black Buffalo (Ictiobusniger) by feeding 30% protein levels in feed. Moreover, Khalid and Naeem (2018) have reported lower protein (11.53±4.18%) content in the body of Ctenopharyngodonidella. The reason of this variation might be certain factors such as season, spawning effects or food availability, as reported by Abdullahiet al. (2001). Regardless of the difference, protein values in various treatments indicate that C. catla is a good source of protein for consumers.

Mineral content of food item is measured as ash content. The range of ash in the present study proposes that *Catlacatla* is also a good source of minerals even fed with low protein diet (15 and 20% crude protein).

Predictive regression equations were established in the present work, as various authors(Salam and Davies, 1994; Yeannes and Almandos, 2003; Breck, 2014; Naeem et al., 2016; Khalid and Naeem, 2018) have reported precision by adopting these equations. Result of regression models to estimate various body contents (%) as a function of moisture content (%) for Catlacatla, when fed with 15%, 20%, 25%, 30% crude protein in different treatments reared under polyculture system, indicated strong correlation (p < 0.001) in all relationships between percent moisture and different body constituents (r = 0.605 to 0.992), except for % fat that was found significantly correlated (p < 0.01) with % moisture content in T2 and T4, while %protein and fat contents (DW) of C. catla were found insignificantly correlated in T1 (15% CP) and T4 (30% CP). Yeannes and Almandos (2003) also estimated percentage of ash, fat and protein contents as a function of percentage of moisture content by regression equations and reported significant correlation between percent moisture and body contents (ash, fat and protein).

Moreover, proximate composition of fish can be estimated from moisture content with the help of predictive regression equations. Hence, evaluating moisture content allows to predict other body contents (ash, fat and protein), with the corresponding reduction of costs when performing one, instead of many different analyses. These observations made in various studies (Yeannes and Almandos, 2003; Naeem *et al.*, 2016) are in conformity with the findings of the present work.

Significant correlation between the fish size and body constituents in the present study also revealed that the body constituents value can be predicted by just knowing fish size, without scarifying the fish, as also reported by Khalid and Naeem (2018). Furthermore, the findings of the present work showed strong relationship between moisture and protein content, indicated that the amount of moisture per unit protein decrease in larger fish. Similar regressions also noted by Naeem and Salam (2010) and Naeem and Ishtiaq (2011) in Aristichthysnobilis and Mystusbleekeri, respectively. Strength of this correlation and its incidence in various fish species indicate the biochemical or physiological source, as revealed by Breck (2014). Similarly, strong correlation was observed between moisture and ash content percentage, with the moisture content per unit ash decreasing in larger fish, also reported by Naeem and Salam (2010) and Breck (2014). Hence, calculated moisture content can be used to predict offer tob Pieteon Penter of ethes fis Body Composition ...

Present study indicated strong inverse correlation between fat and moisture content in *Catlacatla*, revealed that fat contents decreases in the fish body with the increase in moisture content. Evidence to support this is available in other studies (Naeem and Salam, 2010; Naeem and Ishtiaq, 2011) showing similar results. Pradhan *et al.* (2014) reported biochemical composition of liver and muscle of *Catlacatla* and reported strong significant ($p \le 0.01$) inverse correlation of moisture with percent protein and lipid.

In fact, anincrease in lipid and decrease in moisture content is attributed with a good condition. However, moisture content increases during spawning and non-feeding conditions due to consumption of lipid and protein for metabolic activities(Pradhan *et al.*, 2014). On the other hand, some researches (Naeem *et al.*, 2011; Breck, 2014) contradict from these findings and reported virtually no significant relationship between moisture and fat contents in fish body.

Variations in the body composition of fish, especially lipid content, are related with differences in fish growth (Cui and Wooton, 1988), appetite (Jobling and Miglavs, 1993), reproduction (Thorpe *et al.*, 1998), and survival rate (Sogard and Olla, 2000). A study on *Catlacatla* has also revealed that body conditions and biochemical composition varied significantly among sex and season (Pradhan *et al.*, 2014).

All the studied multiple regressions analyses (MRA) indicated the presence of statistically significant relationship of WW, TL and K with percentage ash (%A) and protein (%P) content, while percent moisture (%M) and fat (%F) content were found insignificant with WW and K. Thus, in the present work MRA makes an argument that there is a strong impact of WW, TL and K on %A and %P, also reported by Naeem and Ishtiaq (2011). Moreover, VIF value > 10 in the present study indicating high multicollinearity and confirms the reliable and precise estimates.

CONCLUSION

5.

From the results of the present work, it is concluded that dietary protein levels have significant effect on the proximate composition of Catlacatla. Higher protein content in the body of *C.catla*can be attained by feeding a diet containing 25% and 30% crude protein in polyculture system. The high percentage protein in the fish could be attributed to the fact that they are good sources of protein for human consumption as well as other predators. As the results shown that body composition of C.catla of treatments having 25% CP and 30% CP were significantly similar, hence feed containing 25% CP is recommended for feeding the C. catla to obtain high protein contents in this fish species. Moreover, predictive regression equations in the present study demonstrate that body size significantly influence the proximate body composition of C. catla. However, body composition of *C. catla*does not evidently influence by the condition factor.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Pakistan Agricultural Research Council (PARC), Agricultural Linkages Programme (ALP) and Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan for financial support to complete the research work, which is the part of Ph.D. thesis of the first author.

REFERENCES:

Abdullahi, S.A., D.S. Abolude and R.A. Ega (2001). Nutrient quality of four oven dried freshwater catfish species in Northern Nigeria. *J. TropicalBiosci.*,1(1): 70-76.

Ackman, R. G. (1989). Nutritional composition of fats in seafoods. *Prog. Food Nutr. Sci.*, 13: 161-241.

Adewumi, A. A, H. A. Adewoleand V. F. Olaleye (2014). Proximate and elemental composition of the fillets of some fish species in Osinmo Reservoir, Nigeria. *Agri. Biol. J. North America*, 5(3): 109-117.

Ahmed, I. and A. Maqbool (2017). Effects of Dietary Protein Levels on the Growth, Feed Utilization and Haemato-Biochemical Parameters of Freshwater Fish, *Cyprinus carpio var. specularis. Fish. Aqua. J.*, 8: 187Pp. doi:10.4172/2150-3508.1000187

Ayyappan, S. and J. K. Jena (1998). Carp culture in India—a sustainable farming practice. pp. 125–153. *In*: Natarajan, P., Devendran, K., Aravindan, C.M., Rita Kumari S.D. (eds.) Advances in Aquatic Biology and Fisheries. University of Kerala, Trivandrum.

Bligh, E. G. and W. J. Dyer (1959). A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Canadian. *J. Biocham. Physiol.*, 37: 911-917.

Breck, J. E. (2014). Body composition in fishes: body size matters. *Aquaculture*, 433:40-40.

Brett, J. R., J. E. Shelbourn and C. T. Shoop (1969). Growth rate and body composition of fingerling, sockeye salmon. *Onchorhynchusnerka*in relation to temperature and ration size. *J. Fish Res. Bd. Can.*, 26: 2363 – 2394.

Cui, Y. and R. J. Wootton (1988). Bioenergetics of growth of Cyprinids, Phoxinus, the effect of the ration and temperature on growth rate and efficiency. *J. Fish Biol.*, 33: 763-773.

Dars, B.A., N. T. Narejo, A. Dayo, P. K. Lashari, M. Y. Laghari and B. Waryani (2010). Effect of different protein on growth and survival of *Catlacatla* (Hamilton) reared in glass aquaria. *Sindh Univ. Res. AISHTIAO*, *M. NAEEM*, 165 – 68.

Dumas, A., J. France and D. Bureau (2010). Modeling of growth and body composition in fish nutrition: where have we been and where are we going? *Aqua. Res.*, 41:161-181.

Fawole, O. O., M. A. Ogundiran, T.A. Ayandiranand O.F. Olagunju(2007). Mineral Composition in some selected fresh water fishes in Nigeria. *J. Food Safety*, 9: 52-55.

Guy, E.L., M. H. Li and P.J. Allen (2018). Effects of dietary protein levels on growth and body composition of juvenile (age-1) Black Buffalo *Ictiobusniger. Aquaculture*, 492: 67–72.

Hasan, G. M. M. A., M. S. Hossain and M. Begum (2015). Biochemical composition of Rui (*Labeorohita*), Catla (*Catlacatla*), Tilapia (*Oreochromismossambicus*) of cultured ponds and different markets of Bangladesh. 3(I): 222-226.

Hussain, S. M., S. A., Rana, M. Afzal and M. Shahid (2011). Efficacy of phytase supplementation on mineral digestibility in *Labeorohita* fingerlings fed on corn gluten meal (30%) based diets. *Pakistan J. Agric. Sci.*, 48: 237-241.

Iqbal, K.J., M. Ashraf, F. Abbas, A. Javid, M.H. Rehman, S. Abbas, F. Rasool, N. Khan, S.A. Khan and M. Altaf (2014). Effect of plant-fishmeal and plant by –product based feed on growth, body composition and organoleptic flesh qualities of *Labeorohita. Pakistan J. Zool.*, 46(1): 253-260.

Jiang, S., X. Wu, W. Li, W. Wu, Y. Luo, S. Lu, and H. Lin, (2015). Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth, feed utilization, body and plasma biochemical compositions of hybrid grouper (*Epinepheluslanceolatus* $\Im \times$

Epinephelusfuscoguttatus $^{\bigcirc}_{+}$) juveniles. *Aquaculture*, 446:148–155.

Jobling, M. and I. Miglavs (1993). The size of lipid depots—a factor contributing to the control of food intake in Arctic charr, *Salvelinusalpinus? J. Fish Biol.*, 43: 487-489.

Khalid, M. and M. Naeem (2018). Proximate analysis of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodonidella*) from Southern Punjab, Pakistan.*Sarhad J. Agricult.*, 34(3): 632-639.

Khan, N., M. Ashraf, N.A. Qureshi, P.K. Sarker, G.W. Vandenberg and F. Rasool (2012). Effect of similar feeding regime on growth and body composition of Indian major carps (*Catlacatla*, *Cirrhinusmrigala and Labeorohita*) under mono and polyculture. *African J. Biotechnol.*, 11(44): 10280-10290.

Louka, N., F. Juhel, V. Fazilleau and P. Loonis (2004). A novel colorimetry analysis used to compare different drying fish processes. *Food Control.*, 15:327-334.

Love, R.M. (1980). *The chemical biology of fishes*, Vol. II, Academic Press, London.

Luo, Z., Y. J. Liu, K. S. Mai, L. X. Tian, D.H. Liu and X.Y. Tan(2004). Optimal dietary protein requirement of grouper *Epinepheluscoioides* Juveniles fed is energetic diets in floating net cages.*Aquacul. Nutri.*, 10(4): 247–252.

Naeem, M. and A. Salam (2010). Proximate composition of fresh water bighead carp, *Aristichthysnobilis*, in relation to body size and condition factor from Islamabad, Pakistan. *African J. Biotechnol.*, 9(50): 8687-8692.

Naeem, M., A. Salam, M. Khalid and M. Ashraf (2010). Effect of body size and condition factor on whole body composition of immature population hatchery reared mahseer, *Tor putitora* from Pakistan, *2nd International Conference on Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering (ICBEE 2010).* 286-289.

Naeem, M., A. Rasul, A. Salam, S. Iqbal, A. Ishtiaq and M. Athar(2011). Proximate analysis of female population of wild featherback fish (*Notopterusnotopterus*) In relation to body size and condition factor, *African J. Biotechnol.*, 10(19): 3867-3871.

Naeem, M. and A. Ishtiaq (2011). Proximate composition of *Mystusbleekeri* in relation to body size and condition factor from NalaDaik, Sialkot, Pakistan. *African J. Biotechnol.*, 10(52): 10765-10763.

Naeem, M., A. Salam and A. Zuberi (2016). Proximate composition of freshwater rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchusmykiss*) in relation to body size and condition factor from Pakistan. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 53(2): 497-502.

Oliveira, E.R.N., A. A. Agostinho and M. Matsushita (2003). Effect of Biological Variables and Capture Period on the Proximate Composition and Fatty Acid Composition of the Dorsal Muscle Tissue of *Hypophthalmusedentatus* (Spix, 1829). *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.*, 46: 105-114.

Parveen, S., I. Ahmed, A. Mateen, M. Hameed and F. Rasool (2012). Substitution of animal protein with plant protein fed to *Labeorohita* and *Cirrhinusmrigala* dits effect on growth and carcass composition. *Pakistan J. Agri. Sci.*, 49(4): 569-575.

Pradhan, S.C., A.K. Patraand A. Pal (2014). Seasonal analysis of the biochemical composition of muscle and liver of *Catlacatla* in a tropical climate of India. *Comp. Clin. Pathol.*, 24 (3): 593-603. DOI 10.1007/s00580-014-1952

Salam, A. and P. M. C. Davies (1994). Body composition of northern pike (*EsoxluciusL.*) in relation to body size and condition factor. *Fish. Res.*, 19:193-204.

Siddiqui, T.Q. and M.A. Khan (2009). Effects of dystary protein rolevel svetnon gravethypesed nutilization, protein retention efficiency and body composition of young *Heteropneustesfossilis* (Bloch). *Fish Physiol. Biochem.*, 35:479–488.

Silva, J. J. and R.S. Chamul(2000). Composition of marine and fresh water finfish and shell fish species and their products. In: Martin, R.E., Carter, E.P.,

Flick, E.Y. and Davis, L.M. (eds.). Marine and fresh water products handbook, Lancaster, USA, pp. 31-46.

Singh, P.K., S.R. Gaur and M.S. Chari, (2006). Effect of varying protein levels on the growth of Indian major carp Rohu, *Labeorohita* (Hamilton). *Intr. J. Zool. Res.*, 2 (2): 186-191.

Sogard, S.M. and B.L. Olla (2000). Endurance of simulated winter conditions by age-0 walleye pollock: effects of body size, water temperature and energy stores. *J. Fish Biol.*, 56: 1-21.

Sutharshiny, S. and K. Sivashanthini (2011). Total lipid and cholesterol content in the flesh of the five important commercial fishes from around Jaffna Peninsula, Sri Lanka. *Int. J Biol. Chem.*, 6:161-169.

Thorpe, J. E., M. Mangel, N. B. Metcalfe and F. A. Hungerford (1998). Modelling the proximate basis of salmonid life-history variation, with application to Atlantic salmon, *SalmosalarL. Evolution. Ecol.*, 12: 581-599.

Tobin, D., A. Kause, E.A. Mntysaari, S. A. M. Martin, D. F. Houlihan, A. Dobly, A. Kiessling, K. Rungruangsak-Torrissen, O. Ritola and K. Ruohonen

(2006). Fat or lean? The quantitative genetic basis for selection strategies of muscle and body composition traits in breeding schemes of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchusmykiss*). Aquaculture, 261:510-521.

Weatherley, A.H. and H.S. Gill (1987). *The Biology* of *Fish Growth*. Academic press, London.

Yeannes, M. I. and M. E. Almandos (2003). Estimation of fish proximatecom position starting from water content. *J. Food Comp. Anal.*, 16: 81–92.

Yousaf, M., A. Salam and M. Naeem (2011). Body composition of fresh water *Wallagoattu*in relation to body size, condition factor and sex from southern Punjab, Pakistan. *African J. Biotech.*, 10(20): 4265-4268.

Zar, J. H. (1996). *Biostatistical Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey.

Zehra, S. and M.A. Khan(2013). Dietary Arginine Requirement of Fingerling Indian Major Carp, *Catlacatla* (Hamilton). 44(3): 363-373.