

COMPARING GIT CESTODES AND NEMATODESIN DESI AND BROILER CHICKEN

Rajput Zahid Iqbal^{*}, Ali Adnan^{**}, Arijo Abdullah^{***}, Soomro Noor Ahmed^{***}, Leghari Ambreen^{*}

*Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Sakrand, Pakistan

**Department of Entomology, Sindh Agriculture University, Tando Jam, Pakistan

***Department of Parasitology, Sindh Agriculture University, Tando Jam, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Article History: Received: 15th September 2018 Accepted: : 10th October 2018 Published online: 22nd February 2019

Author's contribution

R.Z.I designed the project A.A performed the experiments A.A analysis the data S.N.A completed these results and L.A submitted the findings.

broiler chicken, gastrointestinal parasites

Key words: Cestode, nematode, scavenger chicken, A study on the prevalence of cestode and nematode parasites in Desi and Broiler chicken was conducted. Total 400 (200 each) birds were examined. The gut samples were collected during May, June, and July. Microscopic examination revealed that 84% and 66% desi chicken acquired the infection with cestode and nematodes respectively. While the prevalence of cestode and nematode in broiler was found 30.5% and 23% respectively. The prevailing percentage of cestode species in desi and broiler chicken were 58 and 17; 5 and 6; 15 and 6.5; 4 and 1, and 4 and 0, with Raillietina tetragona, Raillietina cesticillus, Raillietina Echinobothrida, Amoebotaenia cuneata, and Choanotaenia infundibulum respectively. The prevailing percentage of nematode species in desi and broiler chicken were 40 and 9, and 26 and 14, with Ascaridia galli and Subulura brumpti respectively. Comparative study revealed that the prevailing percentage of cestode and nematode was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in desi breed as compared to the broiler. The highest prevailing percentage of cestodewas recorded during July and May in desi and broiler respectively. The prevalence of nematode during the month of July was found to be higher than corresponding months of study in both breeds of chicken.

1. INTRODUCTION

Poultry parasites always put forth the negative impact on the health and production of the bird. They may not only the partner in host's food but also decrease food utilization, resulted in the loss of appetite leads to a reduction in food intake and protein synthesis [1, 2,3,4]. There are several factors involved in the decreased production and increased mortality in chicken. Predators, diseases, parasites, mismanagement and lack of nutritional feeding, resulting in the low production with high mortality in scavenger chicken [5,6,7,8,9]. Both the ecto- and endo-parasites are

Corresponding Author: <u>abdullaharijo@gmail.com</u>

Copyright 2017 University of Sindh Journal of Animal Sciences

the major causes to hamper the poultry production, especially in the free-range management of scavenger chicken [10,11,12,13]. Although the prevalence of parasitic infections has been greatly reduced in the commercial production system, mostly due to improved housing, hygiene, and management operation [14] but in free-range poultry, it is still a great problem throughout the world [14,15,16,17,18]. The poultry parasites, including helminths causing heavy economic losses to the poultry industry and could be controlled by improving the management practices including housing and hygiene to reduce the losses [14,15,19].

Helminths affect not only the health but also lower the productivity of the poultry. They cause many hazards to the poultry like retarded growth, poor weight gains, decreased egg production, obstruction in the intestine, diarrhea, deprived body resistance and may even cause death in severe infection [4,20,21]. The helminth

parasites of poultry are commonly divided into three main groups, i.e., nematodes, cestodes, and trematodes. Cestodes (tapeworms) belong to the phylum Platyhelminthes, class Cestoda. The cestodes and nematodes are the most common poultry parasites affect the poultry health resulting significant decrease in egg and meat production [22,23] mentioned more than 1400 tapeworm species in scavenger poultry and wild birds. Young birds are more severely affected than older ones resulted in reduced efficiency and slower growth [17]. Nematodes are also the most common and important helminths with 50 species in poultry. Of these, the majority causes pathological damage to the host. Nematodes belong to the phylum Nemathelminthes, class Nematoda. The nematodes of poultry are parasitic, unsegmented worms [17]. The aim of this study was to collect the information on the prevalence of the various species of cestode and nematode found in desi (scavenger) and broiler (commercial chickens) in and around of Tando Mohammad Khan

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Collection and investigation of gut samples

Four hundred gut samples of desi (scavenger) and commercial broilers (200 each) were examined. The guts of broiler chicken were collected from various poultry meat shops while desi chickens were purchased from Tando Mohammad Khan and surrounding villages, during the months of May to July. All the desi birds were slaughtered and whole the digestive tracts (gut) were removed carefully.

Each gut was placed in a tray, incised with a pair of scissors in a longitudinal section from the crop to the cloacae, and washed out thoroughly in a 90 μ m aperture test sieve for recovering the smaller helminths. The investigation was done very carefully with a magnifying glass and large helminths were picked up from the sieve with forceps, the residual contents were examined under a stereomicroscope at 40 times magnification, and all the smaller parasites were collected and transferred to a normal saline solution. Several washings were given to intestinal material before the final examination. The incised intestines were also immersed in the warm saline solution for the release of tapeworms and their scolex.

2.2 Preservation and Identification

All the helminths were counted and preserved in a glycerol-alcohol mixture (95 parts 70% ethyl alcohol and 5 parts pure glycerin). For permanent storage, the parasites were washed in fresh water for 5-6 times and passed gradually through 30%, 50% and 70% alcohol for 10 minutes. For identification, the parasites were mounted in drops of lactic acid (clearing agent). Examination and identification were carried out under a binocular electric microscope using the helminthological keys described [17,24].

2.3 Statistical Analysis

The collected data were analyzed with the help of SPSS 10 software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The prevalence of cestodes and nematodes together with their respective species are shown in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively. Out of 400 examined birds, 229 (57.25%) and 178 (44.5%) guts were found positive for cestode and nematode infection respectively. Out of 200 examined guts of desi chicken, 168 (84%) were found positive with cestode species out of which 116 (58%), 10 (5%), 30 (15%), 8 (4%) and 4 (2%) birds had infection with Raillietina tetragona, Raillietina cesticillus. Raillietina Echinobothrida, Amoebotaenia cuneata, and Choanotaenia infundibulum respectively. While out of 200 examined guts of broiler chicken 61 (30.5%) were found positive with *cestode* species that 34 (17%), 12 (6%), 13 (6.5%) and 2 (1%) birds had infection with Raillietina tetragona, Raillietina cesticillus. Raillietina Echinobothrida, and Amoebotaenia cuneata respectively.

It is reported 84.6% and 84.2% *cestode* prevalence in desi chicken respectively [25,26]. Rasool [25] also found a 30.6% *cestode* prevalence in farm chicken. These findings agree with the present findings. Hussain [27] findings are higher (98%) while Bano *et al.* [28] and Khan *et al.* [29] (44%) are lower when compared with the present findings of *cestode* in desi breed. Rashid and Moeed [30] found a 3.2% prevalence of *cestode* in broiler at Lahore while and Sayyed *et al.*, [31] reported 20.25% in layers. Hussain [25] and Rasool [27] found the prevalence percentage of *Raillietina tetragona* (5 & 62),

Raillietina cesticillus (0 & 20.66), Raillietina Echinobothrida (28 & 7.33), Amoebotaenia cuneata (0 & 2.66) and Choanotaenia infundibulum (7 & 12.66) respectively. The differences may be attributed due to the availability of the intermediate host, hygienic conditions and climate of the area.

The prevalence of nematode in desi and broiler chicken was found 132 (66%) and 46 (32%) respectively. The species-wise study revealed that 80 (40%) and 52 (26%) guts of desi while 18 (9%) and 28 (14%) guts of broiler chicken were infected with Ascaridia galli and Subulura brumpti respectively. Zada et al. [32] reported 25% and 17.64% prevalence with A.galli in desi and broiler chickens of district Mardan respectively which are not in agreement with present findings. The finding of in desi chicken (66.6%) is near to present finding while the prevalence in farm chicken (22.6%) is not in agreement with present investigation [25]. 23.64% and 17.64% nematodes in desi chicken of Iran and India respectively [33,34]. While [26,28,29] found 77.6, 6.7 and 40 percent nematodes in chicken respectively. The variation in the prevalence rates reported can be ascribed to variation in prevalence of the intermediate host, location, management, and climate of the different areas.

Comparative study revealed that the prevailing percentages of *cestode* and *nematode* were significantly higher (P< 0.05) in desi chicken as compared to broiler (Fig.1). In the rural poultry production, the birds are moved freely in and around the houses to take the feed from the soil, where may get the infected stages of parasites [14,15,24,35]. The current practice in many countries of marketing broiler chickens between the fifth and seventh week of age should theoretically result in a decrease in the frequency of helminth infections [36].

4. Month-wise investigation revealed that the prevalence of *cestode* in May, June, and July was found to be 50 (25%) and 25 (12.5%), 56 (28%) and 17 (8.5%), and 62 (31%) and 19 (9.5%) in desi and broiler chicken respectively (Table-3). The highest prevalence rate of *cestode* was recorded during the month of July and May in desi and broiler chicken respectively (Fig. 2). While a higher prevalence rate of *nematode* was recorded during the month of July and May in both desi and broiler chicken (Fig. 3). It was

attributed because of more propagation of available intermediate host with suitable environment and temperature. CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that in the studied area, both desi and broiler chickens were infected to various species of *cestode* and *nematode* parasites infection. The infection rate in desi was found to be higher than broilers. The prevalence of *Raillietina tetragona* was higher than other species of *cestodes*.

5. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors have declared that there is no conflict of interest regarding publication of this article.

REFRENCES

- D.W.T. Crompton, "Influence of parasitic infection on food intake," Federation of American Societies for experimental biology, Federation Proceedings, vol. 43, pp. 239-245, 1984.
- [2] A. Keymer, D.W.T. Crompton, and D.E. Walters, "Nippostrongylus (Nematoda) in proteinmalnourished rats; host mortality, morbidity, and rehabilitation," Parasitology, vol. 86, pp. 461-475, 1983.
- [3] P.H. Holmes and R.L. Coop, "Workshop summary: Pathophysiology of gastrointestinal parasites," Vet. Paras.comitol., vol. 54, pp. 299-303, 1994.
- [4] S.G. Fekete and R.O. Kellems, "The interrelationship of feeding with immunity and parasitic infection," a review Veterinarni Medicina, vol. 52(4), pp. 131– 143, 2007.
- [5] U.M. Minga, A. Katule, T. Maeda and J. Musasa, "Potential and problems of the traditional chicken's industry in Tanzania," In: Proceedings of the 7th Tanzania Veterinary Association Scientific Conference, Arusha, pp.207-215, 1989.
- [6] L. Ojok, "Diseases as an important factor affecting increased poultry production in Uganda," Der Tropenland Wirt, Zeitschrift fur die Landwirtschaft in den Tropen und subtropen, vol. 94, pp. 37-44, 1993.
- [7] T. Negesse, "Prevalence of diseases parasites and predators of local chicken in Leku, Southern Ethiopia," Bull. Anim. Hlth. Prod. Afr., vol. 41, pp. 317.321, 1993.
- [8] M.G. Yongolo, H. Christensen, K. Handberg, U. Minga and J.E. Olsen, "On the origin and diversity of Newcastle disease virus in Tanzania," Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res., vol. 78(1), pp. 1-8, 2011.

- [9] Y.E. Tirunesh and A.K. Gerima. "An investigation of the major causes of chicken mortality and intervention measures under village chicken production system," Afr. J. Poul. Farming, vol. 4(3), pp. 130-136, 2016.
- [10] J.L. Vegad, G.N. Kolte, and N. Kumar, "Intestinal perforation and peritonitis in ascaridiasis in a white leghorn cockerel," The Vet. Rec., vol. 7(16), 1979.
- [11] H.C. Malviya, P. Dwivedi and T.K. Verma, "Effect of irradiated Ascaridia galli eggs on growth and cellmediated immune responses in chickens," Vet. Parasitol., vol. 28, pp. 137-141, 1988.
- [12] S. He, V.E.H.S. Susilowati, E. Purwati, and R. Tiuria, "An estimate of meat production loss in native chickens in Bogor and its surrounding districts due to gastrointestinal helminthiasis," In: proceedings from the Fifth National Congress of Parasitology. East Java, pp. 57, 1990.
- [13] H.A. Shadan, and A.M. Aram, "Ecto and Endo Parasites Prevalence in Domestic Chickens in Sulaimani Region," Iraqi J. Vet. Med., vol. 37(2), pp. 149-155, 2013.
- [14] V.S. Pandey, "Demey F and Verhulst A. Parasitic diseases: A neglected problem in village poultry in Sub-Saharan Africa. In: Village poultry production in Africa (Pandey VS and Demey F eds)," Rabat, Morocco, pp.136-141, 1992.
- [15] T.J. Bagust, "Improving health for poultry production in Asia: a development perspective," Avian Pathol., vol. 23, pp. 395-404, 1994.
- [16] A. Permin, M. Bojesen, P. Nansen, M. Bisgaard, F. Frandsen and M. Pearman, "Ascaridia galli productions in chickens following single infections with different dose levels," Parasitol. Res., vol. 83, pp. 614-617, 1997.
- [17] A. Permin and J.W. Hansen, "Poultry and parasites. Epidemiology, Diagnosis, and Control of Parasites," FAO Rome Italy, pp. 15-43, 1998.
- [18] A.C. Uhuo, F.C. Okafor, O.O. Odikamnoro, C.S. Onwe, M.C. Abarike, and J.N. Elom, "Common gastrointestinal parasites of local chicken (gallus domesticus) slaughtered in some selected eatery center in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State: Implication for meat quality," Int. J. Dev. Sust., vol. 2(2), pp. 1416-1422, 2013.
- [19] B.B. Fakae and C.U. Paul-Abiade, "Rainy season period Prevalence of helminths in the Domestic Fowl (Gallus gallus) in Nsukka, Eastern Nigeria, Nig. Vet. J., vol. 24, pp. 21-27, 2003.
- [20] V. Baboolal, V. Suratsingh, L. Gyan, G. Brown, N.V. Offiah, A.A. Adesiyun, and A.K. Basu, "The prevalence of intestinal helminths in broiler chickens in Trinidad The prevalence of intestinal helminths in broiler chickens in Trinidad," Veterinarski Archive, vol. 82(6), pp. 591-597, 2012.

- [21] R. Katoch, Y. Anish, R. Godara, J.K. Khajuria, S. Borkataki, S.S. Sodhi, "Prevalence and impact of gastrointestinal helminths on body weight gain in backyard chickens in the subtropical and humid zone of Jammu," India. J. Parasit. Dis., vol. 36(1), pp. 49– 52, 2012.
- [22] B. Matur, N. Dawam and Y. Malann, "Gastrointestinal Helminth Parasites of Local and Exotic Chickens Slaughtered in Gwagwalada, Abuja (FCT), Nigeria," New York Sci. J., vol. 3(5), pp. 96-99, 2010.
- [23] C. Demis, M. Anteneh and A. Basith, "Tapeworms of Poultry in Ethiopia," A Review, Br. J. Poul. Sci., vol. 4(3), pp. 44-52, 2015.
- [24] E.J.L. Soulsby, "Helminths, Arthropods, and Protozoa of Domestic Animals," 7th ed. Lea & Febiger Philadelphia, Great Britain, pp. 446-645, 1982.
- [25] G. Rasool, "The incidence of helminthiasis parasites in chicken in and around Lahore," M.Sc. Thesis Department of Parasitology, University of Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan, pp. 4-45, 1971.
- [26] S.N. Buriro, M.P. Wagan, M.N. Bhutto, and M.I. Kumbhar, "The incidence of helminth parasites in poultry," Pak. Vet. J., vol. 12, pp. 25-27, 1992.
- [27] M.Z. Hussain, "Influence of different forms of management on the incidence of helminth parasitism in poultry," Pak. Vet. J., vol. 19, pp. 114-117, 1967.
- [28] S. Bano, A. Rabbani, M.S. Mian, and T.M. Khan, "Studies on blood protozoa and helminth parasites of indigenous chicken in Lahore," Pak. Vet. J., vol. 9, pp. 40-41, 1989.
- [29] R.W. Khan, M.M. Khan and S.A. Khan, "Prevalence and gross pathology of helminth infection in domestic fowls of Hyderabad District," Proceed. Parasitol., vol. 17, pp. 4-7, 1994.
- [30] A.B. Rashid and M. Moeed, "Helminthiasis in poultry," Livestock news, vol. 2, pp. 3-7, 1976.
- [31] R. Sayyed, M. Phelan, W. Bhatti, M. Pardehi, S. Ali, "The incidence of nematode parasites in commercial layers in swat," Pak. Vet. J., vol. 20(2), pp. 107-108, 2000.
- [32] L. Zada, T. Rehman, S. Niaz, M.A. Zeb, B.R. Salma, M.A. Khan, A. Khan, "Prevalence of *Ascaridiagalli*in some Poultry," J. Adv. Parasitol. Vol. 2 (4), pp. 75 – 79, 2015.
- [33] S.A. Naem and S. Skandari, "Prevalence of intestinal helminths of native chickens in Urmia, Iran. J. Iranian, Vet. Res., vol. 3(2), pp. 200-203, 2002.
- [34] D. Channa, R.K. Agnihotri, and R. Katoch, "The incidence of Ascaridia galli in palm valley of Himachal Pradesh," J. Anim. Sci., vol. 74(10), pp. 1032-1034, 2004.
- [35] M.A. Kabatange and A.M. Katule, "Rural poultry production systems in Tanzania. In: Sonaiya EB," (Ed) Proceedings of an international workshop on

rural poultry in Africa," African Network on Rural Poultry Development, Nigeria, pp.171-176, 1990.[36] K.I. Wilson, T.A. Yazwinski, C.A. Tucker and Z.B. Johnson, "A survey into the prevalence of poultry helminths in Northwest Arkansas commercial broiler chickens," Avian Dis., vol. 38, pp. 158-160, 1994.

Table 1: Prevelance of cestode parasite in desi and broiler chicken

Chicken	No. of	No. (%) of birds infected					Total	
	birds examined	a	b	с	d	e	(a+b+c+d+e)	%
Desi	200	116 (58)	10 (5)	30 (15)	8 (4)	4 (2)	168	84.0
Broiler	200	34(17)	12(6)	13(6.5)	2(1)	0(0)	61	30.5
Total	400	150(37.5)	22 (5.5)	43(10.75)	10(2.5)	4(2)	229	57.25

a: Raillietina tetragona, b: Raillietina cesticillus, c: Raillietina Echinobothrida, d: Amoebotaenia cuneata, e: Choanotaenia infundibulum

Table 2 Prevalence of nematode parasite in desi and broiler chicken

Chicken	No. of birds	No. (%) o	f birds infected	Total	%
	examined	а	b	(a+b+c+d+e)	
Desi	200	80 (40)	52 (26)	132	66
Broiler	200	18(9)	28 (14)	46	23
Total	400	98(24.5)	80 (20)	178	44.5

a: Ascaridia galli, b: Subulura brumpti

Table 3 Month wise prevalence of cestode and nematode parasites in desi and broiler chicken

Month	No. of guts examined		No. (%) of gutsinfected				
			Γ	Desi	Broiler		
	desi	broiler	cestodes	nematodes	cestodes	nematodes	
May	67	67	50 (25)	45 (22.5)	25 (12.5)	12 (6)	
June	67	67	56 (28)	37 (18.5)	17 (8.5)	15 (7.5)	
July	66	66	62 (31)	50 (25)	19 (9.5)	19 (9.5)	
Total	200	200	168 (84)	132 (66)	61 (30.5)	46 (23)	





Fig. 1 Comparison of *cestode* and *nematode* prevalence in desi and broiler chicken

Fig. 2 Month-wise distribution of cestodes in desi and broiler chicken



Fig. 3 Month-wise distribution of nematodes in desi and broiler chicken